

**Welsh Power Plant
Primary Bottom Ash Pond
Alternate Source Demonstration**


The Welsh Power Plant Primary Bottom Ash Pond initiated an assessment monitoring program in accordance with 40 CFR 257.95 on April 13, 2018. Groundwater protection standards (GWPS) were set in accordance with 257.95(d)(2) and a statistical evaluation of the assessment monitoring data was conducted. The statistical evaluation revealed an exceedance of the lithium GWPS on January 8, 2019. A successful alternate source demonstration (ASD) was completed per 257.95(g)(3), therefore, the Welsh Primary Bottom Ash Pond will remain in assessment monitoring. An ASD is documentation that shows a source other than the CCR unit was responsible for causing the statistics to exceed the GWPS. The ASD document will explain the alternate cause of the GWPS exceedance. The successful ASD is attached.



ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
1187 County Road 4865
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

February 7, 2019





Kenneth J. Brandner, P.E., P.G.
Geological Engineer



Everett H. Fortner III, PG
Senior Geologist



Michael Hay, PhD
Principal Geochemist



Matthew J. Lamb
Project Manager

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
1187 County Road 4865
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Prepared for:
American Electric Power Service Corporation

Prepared by:
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
100 E Campus View Boulevard
Suite 230
Columbus
Ohio 43235-1447
Tel 614 985 9100
Fax 614 985 9170

Our Ref.:
TX015976.0005

Date:
February 7, 2019

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

CONTENTS

Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	iv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Facility History.....	1
2 Physical Setting.....	2
2.1 Regional Topography.....	2
2.2 Geology and Soils.....	2
2.2.1 Regional and Local Geology	2
2.2.2 Regional and Local Soil Composition.....	2
2.3 Hydrology.....	3
2.3.1 Regional Hydrology	3
2.3.2 Local Hydrology.....	4
2.4 Surface Water	5
3 Detection and Assessment Monitoring Statistical Evaluation.....	6
3.1 General	6
3.2 Detection Monitoring Results.....	6
3.3 Assessment Monitoring Results	6
4 Soil and Groundwater Analytical Data Evaluation	7
4.1 General	7
4.2 Soil and Groundwater Analytical Data Evaluation.....	7
4.2.1 Soil Evaluation.....	7
5 Summary and Conclusions	10
6 Professional Engineer’s Certification	12
7 References Cited	13

TABLES

Table 2-1. Grain Size Distribution in Soil and Subsoil of the Norfolk Sandy Loam

Table 2-2. Grain Size Distribution in Soil and Subsoil of the Susquehanna Fine Sandy Loam

Table 2-3. Well Construction and Water Level Data – CCR Units

Table 4-1. Soil and Coal Ash Sample Analytical Results (mg/kg) - CCR Units

Table 4-2. Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Primary Bottom Ash Pond

Table 4-3. Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Landfill

Table 4-4. Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Bottom Ash Storage Pond

FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Site Location Map

Figure 1-2. Plant and CCR Unit Location Map

Figure 2-1. Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Location Map (Updated October 2018)

Figure 2-2A. Regional Geologic Map

Figure 2-2B. Regional Geologic Legend

Figure 2-3. Cross Section Locations

Figure 2-4. Cross Section A-A'

Figure 2-5. Cross Section B-B'

Figure 2-6. Cross Section C-C'

Figure 2-7. Cross Section D-D'

Figure 2-8. Cross Section E-E'

Figure 2-9. Regional Geologic Cross Section

Figure 2-10. Potentiometric Surface Map, October 29, 2018

Figure 4-1. Lithium Concentration in Soil (mg/kg), May 2018

Figure 4-2. Iron Concentration in Soil (mg/kg), May 2018

Figure 4-3. Lithium vs. Iron Solids Concentration Plot

Figure 4-4. Lithium Concentration in Groundwater (mg/L), May – October 2018

Figure 4-5. Iron Concentration in Groundwater (mg/L), May – October 2018

Figure 4-6. Iron vs. Lithium Groundwater Concentration Plot

APPENDICES

Appendix A Springs of Texas Reference

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AEP	American Electric Power Service Corporation
amsl	above mean sea level
Arcadis	Arcadis U.S., Inc.
ASD	Alternate Source Demonstration
bgs	below ground surface
CCR	Coal Combustion Residual
CCR Unit	ash pond system
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
GWPS	groundwater protection standards
ft	feet
ft/day	feet per day
ft ³ /sec	cubic feet per second
MCL	maximum contaminant limit
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
mg/L	milligram per liter
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Services
PBAP	Primary Bottom Ash Pond
PCL	protective concentration level
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure
SSI	statistically significant increase
SSL	statistically significant level
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

1 INTRODUCTION

This Alternate Source Demonstration (ASD) report has been prepared on behalf of American Electric Power Service Company (AEP) for lithium detected in groundwater in the area of the Primary Bottom Ash Pond (PBAP) at the J. Robert Welsh Plant site located in Titus County, Texas. This ASD report was prepared in accordance with the Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Rule (the Rule) specified in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §257 and in consultation with the Electric Power Research Institute “Guidelines for Development of Alternative Source Demonstrations at Coal Combustion Residual Sites” (EPRI, 2017). As part of the Rule, CCR facility owners are required to conduct detection and assessment monitoring of “Appendix III” and “Appendix IV” constituents, respectively, to ensure compliance with applicable groundwater standards (described further below). Because the monitored constituents also have natural sources and can be influenced by sampling methodology implementation, the Rule allows owners or operators to evaluate and demonstrate whether a source other than the CCR unit caused a statistically significant increase (SSI) over background levels for an Appendix III or and Statistically significant levels (SSLs) over groundwater protection standards for Appendix IV constituent, such as natural variation in groundwater quality or sampling methodology error.

The owner or operator must complete the written ASD within 90 days of identifying the SSI or SSL and include the certification from a qualified professional engineer to verify the accuracy of the information in the report. This ASD report was prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. (Arcadis) on behalf of AEP within the 90-day period and has been certified by a qualified professional engineer.

1.1 Facility History

The J. Robert Welsh Plant is located within southern Titus County, approximately eight miles northeast of Pittsburg, Texas, and approximately two miles northwest of Cason, Texas (**Figure 1-1**). The Plant began operations in 1977 with three coal-fired generating units (Units 1, 2, and 3). Throughout the life of the Plant, CCR materials (fly ash, bottom ash, economizer ash) have been generated. These byproducts were stored in the PBAP and in the adjacent Landfill that was constructed in the late 1970s. In 2000, the 22-acre Bottom Ash Storage Pond was installed south of the Landfill. The Bottom Ash Storage Pond was constructed with a 60-mil high-density polyethylene liner (**Figure 1-2**).

Presently bottom ash and economizer ash from the Plant are sluiced to the PBAP. Solids settle as the clear liquids flow through a drainage canal into the clear water pond (a non-CCR unit). Solids (bottom ash and economizer ash) in the PBAP are dredged and sluiced into the Bottom Ash Storage Pond. Marketable ash material from the PBAP is also temporarily stored in the western two thirds of the Landfill for processing, then loaded into trucks and sold for beneficial reuse (highway road base, etc.).

2 PHYSICAL SETTING

2.1 Regional Topography

The elevation at the Site ranges from approximately 300 feet (ft) above mean sea level (amsl) at Swauano Creek downstream of the Welsh Reservoir, to 360 ft amsl at a topographically high ridge at the west end of the Landfill. The PBAP is in a topographically low area that had been an un-named intermittent tributary of Swauano Creek prior to development of the Site. The Landfill is approximately 40 acres in size and is located in a topographically higher area directly south of the PBAP. The Bottom Ash Storage Pond is approximately 22 acres in size and in a topographically higher area directly south of the Landfill.

2.2 Geology and Soils

2.2.1 Regional and Local Geology

The Site area is located within the West Gulf Coastal Plain. Cretaceous formations crop out in belts that extend in a northeasterly direction parallel to the Gulf of Mexico, and dip gently to the southeast. The Site, including all three CCR Units (PBAP, Landfill, Bottom Ash Storage Pond), is located along the outcrop of the Eocene-age Reklaw Formation, which consists of very fine to fine grained sand and clay (Flawn, 1966). The Reklaw Formation attains a thickness of approximately 110 ft in Titus County, and is underlain by the Eocene-age Carrizo Sand which consists of fine to coarse sand, silt, and clay (Broom et al. 1965). In the topographically low areas underlying the Welsh Reservoir to the east of the PBAP, Quaternary alluvial sediments associated with Swauano Creek are present (Flawn 1966). All the CCR monitoring wells at the Site are completed in the Reklaw Formation. Monitoring well locations are shown on **Figure 2-1**.

As shown on the regional geologic map and legend (**Figure 2-2A** and **Figure 2-2B**), the Reklaw Formation outcrop (Er) at the Site is relatively narrow (less than 1 mile in width). The Reklaw Formation is overlain by the Eocene-age Queen City Formation, which outcrops directly to the west of the Site. The Queen City Formation consists of fine to medium grained sand, shale, silt, and impure lignite, and attains a thickness of approximately 210 ft in Titus County (USGS., 1965). The Queen City Formation also contains ironstone concretions (Flawn, 1966).

2.2.2 Regional and Local Soil Composition

Information gathered from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) soil data provides a detailed inventory of the regional soils and their characteristics, including the widespread distribution of clay-bearing soils, that support data collected at the Site from soil borings and groundwater monitoring locations. Two main named soil layers are present in the Pittsburgh, TX, area in the vicinity of the Site:

- Norfolk sandy loam
- Susquehanna fine sandy loam

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

Both soils are similar in the uppermost 1.5 ft of material, generally grayish in color and containing fine sand, silt, and clay. However, the subsoils of both units have subtle differences from one another and are described herein. Observations from soil borings at the Site are consistent with the characteristics of one or both of these soil units, as described in the USDA NRCS document.

The Norfolk sandy loam is a widely distributed soil unit that is uniformly developed in the lowland areas and is derived from weathering Eocene-aged deposits. It is a generally porous soil, allowing infiltrating water to migrate downward toward the water table. The soil layer is generally yellowish-gray in color, however the subsoil at greater depths is characterized by increased clay content and a mottled red and yellow appearance. As noted in the USDA soil descriptions, the soil and subsoils of the Norfolk sandy loam may be broken down into the grain size distributions presented in **Table 2-1**.

The Susquehanna fine sandy loam is also widely distributed and generally resembles the Norfolk sandy loam at the surface. Subsoils of the Susquehanna contain a greater component of clay, and likely contain increased iron content, as evidenced by observed iron concretions and iron crust formation within the subsoil. This soil is often mottled in appearance, ranging from red and yellow to a reddish brown or gray. Despite the greater clay content, the soil and subsoil is not impervious to infiltrating water that migrates toward the water table. As noted in the USDA soil descriptions, the soil and subsoils of the Susquehanna fine sandy loam may be broken down into the grain size distributions presented in **Table 2-2**.

These soil descriptions are important for the understanding of contributing sources of key constituents, such as lithium to the groundwater system. Lithium can occur in soils through natural weathering processes and the development of clay minerals. In particular, lithium can be incorporated into the structure of clays in the smectite group through cation substitution, which is further influenced in the presence of iron within the clay structure (Drever, 2002; Stucki, 2005). The widespread distribution of clay deposits in the native soils in and near the Site and the propensity for clays to contain trace constituents of potential concern, supports the potential for natural sources of lithium.

Geologic cross-sections were generated to evaluate the stratigraphy in the localized area of the PBAP. The lines of geologic cross-section are shown on **Figure 2-3** and the cross-section details for cross-sections A-A' through E-E' are shown on **Figures 2-4** through **2-8**, respectively. As shown on **Figure 2-4**, an unsaturated brown to gray clay and sandy clay stratum is present in the area of the PBAP from the surface to a depth of approximately 20 ft below ground surface (bgs). The clay stratum is underlain by a saturated fine to medium grained clayey and silty sand stratum with an average thickness of approximately 10 ft and is consistent with the soils of the Susquehanna fine sandy loam deposits. As discussed below in Section 2.3.2, this saturated sand stratum is the uppermost water-bearing unit in the area of the PBAP. This sand stratum is underlain by an unsaturated gray to black silty clay stratum that locally serves as a lower confining layer (aquitar) for the uppermost water-bearing unit.

2.3 Hydrology

2.3.1 Regional Hydrology

The Reklaw Formation, which outcrops in the area of the Site, and the overlying Queen City Formation, which outcrops directly west of the Site, are part of the Cypress Aquifer, which also includes the

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

underlying Carrizo Sand and Wilcox Formation (USGS, 1965). As shown on **Figure 2-9**, the Cypress Aquifer is approximately 900 ft thick in the Site area, and the approximate base of fresh water in the Cypress Aquifer is approximately 800 ft bgs.

Regional groundwater characteristics are presented in Texas Water Commission Bulletin “*Ground-Water Resources of Camp, Franklin, Morris, and Titus Counties, Texas, Texas*” (USGS, 1965). All of the regional aquifer units are combined in this document, and considered as one interconnected unit, referred to as the “Cypress aquifer”. This singular aquifer unit, composed of all water bearing units of similar character, was divided into three zones based on water quality characteristics of each zone rather than lithology. The following three zones were identified, in order of increasing relative depth:

- Zone A: characterized by minimal iron content and low pH, ranging from 4.5 to 6.5.
- Zone B: characterized by increased dissolved iron content and pH ranging from 5.0 to 7.0
- Zone C: characterized by iron concentrations of less than 0.3 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and neutral to alkaline pH (7.0 to 8.0)

Groundwater at the Site is generally assumed to be influenced by groundwater from Zones A and B. As described in USGS, 1965, Zones A and B can be more simply described as:

- Zone A: zone of oxidation and acidic groundwater
- Zone B: intermediate zone

The dissolved iron content in the A and B zones (ranging from non-detect to greater than 10 mg/L; USGS 1965) is likely influenced by iron present in the soils and sediments, which are described in Section 2.2. Slow recharge rates and transmissive properties of these zones contributes to longer residence times whereby the infiltrating groundwater may react with soil and sediments, allowing for the oxidation of sulfides to generate sulfate and mobilizing ferrous iron into solution. In addition, groundwater from several wells completed in shallow (less than 60 ft in depth) sediments contained sulfate of up to 1,420 mg/L. Sulfate concentrations observed at the Site are consistent with the range of data for other similar depth wells in the four-county area (USGS, 1965).

Additional regional groundwater information is provided in the 107th Annual Meeting of the Texas Academy of Science abstract titled “Natural Sources of Poor Water Quality in Streams of East Texas” (Ledger et. al., 2004). This study characterized surface water streams associated with the regional groundwater in the Eocene-aged Reklaw Formation as acidic with high concentrations of sulfate and arsenic concentrations greater than 0.01 mg/L.

An observed decline in surface water quality was also noted if springs from the Reklaw Formation discharge to surface water bodies. Abundant sulfur is noted in the Reklaw formation and sediments undergo acid-sulfate weathering, as evidenced in the red-stained soils and sulfate concentrations of greater than 1,000 mg/L (Ledger et. al., 2004). In streams associated with the Reklaw Formation, sulfate levels may exceed 1,000 mg/L.

2.3.2 Local Hydrology

Groundwater flow direction at the Site is generally from west to east, following surface topography towards the Welsh Reservoir. Groundwater elevations and well construction information from monitoring

wells completed in the uppermost water-bearing unit at the Site are summarized on **Table 2-3**. Depth to groundwater in the monitoring wells in the area of the PBAP ranges from approximately 10 to 15 ft bgs.

Figure 2-10 is a potentiometric surface map for the uppermost water-bearing unit at the Site based on October 29, 2018 water level data. As shown on **Figure 2-10**, shallow groundwater flow direction in the area of the CCR Units is in a general easterly direction toward the Welsh Reservoir at an average hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.01 foot per foot.

The hydraulic conductivity of the uppermost water-bearing unit at the Site was determined by conducting aquifer tests. A constant-rate pumping test was conducted at monitoring well AD-6 on September 21, 2017. Based on the AD-6 pumping test data, the hydraulic conductivity for the uppermost water-bearing unit was calculated at 0.05 ft per day (1.83×10^{-5} centimeters per second).

To provide a broader understanding of the hydraulic conductivity distribution across the Site, bail down slug tests were performed in October 2018 on a total of 5 wells; 1 up gradient well (AD-17) and 4 down gradient wells (AD-6, AD-9, AD-13 and AD-19) on October 30 and 31, 2018. These wells are all screened in the uppermost water-bearing unit and were chosen based on their distribution across the Site. The hydraulic conductivity estimates from the five monitoring wells tested ranged from 0.15 ft per day (AD-6) to 2.0 ft per day (AD-13). The overall mean hydraulic conductivity estimate was 0.84 ft per day, while the overall geometric mean was 0.60 ft per day.

2.4 Surface Water

The Site is located directly west of Swauano Creek, which was dammed near the southern end of the Site during plant development to form the Welsh Reservoir. The PBAP normal operating water level is near the weir box which has a bottom elevation of 325 ft amsl. The surface water elevation of the Welsh Reservoir, located east of the PBAP, is maintained at approximately 320 ft amsl. The Welsh Reservoir is likely a gaining surface water feature, and groundwater elevations at the Site are higher than the normal stage elevation of the Welsh Reservoir (approximately 320 ft amsl) as shown on **Figure 2-10**.

There are no current or historic gauging stations on Swauano Creek; however, there was a historic gauging station on adjacent Boggy Creek, which has a drainage basin area of 72 square miles versus 21.2 square miles for Swauano Creek. The average annual flow of the Boggy Creek gauging station during the driest year on record (1956) was 10.65 cubic feet per second (cfs), which corresponds to a flow of approximately 3 cfs for Swauano Creek.

3 DETECTION AND ASSESSMENT MONITORING STATISTICAL EVALUATION

3.1 General

The groundwater monitoring network for the uppermost water-bearing unit at the PBAP consists of three upgradient monitoring wells (AD-1, AD-5, AD-17) and three downgradient monitoring wells (AD-8, AD-9, AD-15). Additional details regarding the groundwater monitoring network are provided in the August 22, 2017 report entitled "*Primary Bottom Ash Pond – CCR Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Evaluation*" (Arcadis, 2017).

3.2 Detection Monitoring Results

Detection monitoring at the Site involves collection of groundwater samples from the groundwater monitoring network upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells for analyses of Appendix III CCR constituents, which includes boron, calcium, chloride, fluoride, sulfate, pH, and total dissolved solids. Following the baseline monitoring program, which included a minimum collection of eight independent samples from each of the background and downgradient wells that are part of the certified monitoring network, the first round of Detection Monitoring was conducted. Based on detection monitoring conducted at the PBAP in 2017 and 2018, an SSI over the background concentration was calculated for boron in AD-8. Because of the SSI noted for boron from the 2018 sample from AD-8, an Alternate Source Demonstration was completed which did not identify an alternate source for the boron SSI (Geosyntec, 2018).

3.3 Assessment Monitoring Results

Groundwater protection standards (GWPSs) were established for the Appendix IV parameters in accordance with 40 CFR Part 257.95(h). The established GWPS was determined to be the greater value of the background concentration and the maximum contaminant level (MCL) or regional screening level for each Appendix IV parameter.

Confidence intervals were calculated for Appendix IV parameters at the compliance wells (AD-8, AD-9, AD-15) to assess whether Appendix IV parameters were present at an SSL above the GWPS. An SSL was identified for lithium, which exceeded the GWPS of 0.390 mg/L at monitoring well AD-9 (0.935 mg/L), despite no observed SSIs in Appendix III parameters for this well (Geosyntec, 2019). Because the native soils have the potential to be a natural source of lithium in the regional and local groundwater and soil composition, this ASD report was prepared to provide additional information on the sources and distribution of lithium in groundwater at the Site. Further discussion of the Site-specific soil and groundwater data is provided in Section 4. Additional details regarding the statistical evaluation of the groundwater monitoring data is provided in the January 8, 2019 report entitled "*Statistical Analysis Summary, Primary Bottom Ash Pond*" (Geosyntec, 2019).

4 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA EVALUATION

4.1 General

In addition to the detection and assessment monitoring groundwater sampling events conducted at the PBAP in 2017 and 2018 for statistical evaluation, a comprehensive site-wide groundwater sampling event was conducted by Arcadis during May 2018 to evaluate alternate potential sources of lithium detected in downgradient monitoring well AD-9. This May 2018 evaluation included the following tasks:

- Collection of groundwater samples from the PBAP upgradient monitoring wells (AD-1, AD-5, AD-17), the PBAP downgradient monitoring wells (AD-8, AD-9, AD-15), and other monitoring wells in the area completed in the uppermost water-bearing unit, including upgradient monitoring well AD-18; sidegradient monitoring wells MW-9, MW-10, and Temp-1; and downgradient monitoring wells AD-3, AD-4c, AD-10, AD-11, AD-13, AD-14, AD-16R, and AD-19.
- Collection of soil samples from eight soil borings (Temp-1, SB-2 through SB-8) around the perimeter of the CCR units at the site.
- Collection of three CCR material samples from the PBAP (Sample IDs: Ash-1, Ash-2, Ash-3) and one CCR material sample from the HDPE-lined Bottom Ash Storage Pond (Sample ID: Ash-4) for analysis of total metals, pore water concentrations, and leachate water using the Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) (**Table 4-1**).

In addition, two sentinel downgradient monitoring wells (AD-20, AD-21) were installed in the uppermost water-bearing unit (Reklaw Formation) near the shoreline of the Welsh Reservoir east (hydraulically downgradient) of the CCR units during October 2018.

4.2 Soil and Groundwater Analytical Data Evaluation

4.2.1 Soil Evaluation

The soil evaluation results demonstrate a correlation between lithium in soil and lithium in groundwater in key locations, with a correlation in soil between lithium and iron. Boring logs from Site monitoring locations highlight similarities with observations provided in the county-wide soil survey reports. For example, boring locations SB-04 (AD-5) and SB-05 (AD-8) contain a greater content of the reddish-brown clay subsoils as noted in the Susquehanna fine sandy loam, which directly overlie the water table in these locations. The reddish brown color generally denotes the presence of iron in these locations, which can be either incorporated directly into the clay mineral structure (e.g. smectite), or as a secondary mineral (e.g. iron hydroxide) that is also present in the aquifer matrix (Stucki, 2005). The role of iron incorporated into the clay structure is important to localized geochemical processes, such as cation exchange, redox conditions, and hydrophilic properties, which can influence weathering characteristics and the mobility of trace constituents (i.e. lithium) in groundwater (Stucki, 2005). As shown on **Table 4-1** and **Figure 4-1**, the highest concentration of lithium (13.6 mg/kg) was detected in the soil sample from soil boring SB-4, which is located adjacent to monitoring well AD-5 hydraulically upgradient (northwest) of the PBAP. This data

indicates lithium concentrations in soil in the area of the PBAP are naturally occurring and not the result of impacts from CCR materials. This is one line of evidence that the lithium detected in groundwater at monitoring well AD-9 is from a naturally occurring source, and not the CCR unit. Groundwater quality measured in the adjacent monitoring wells (AD-5 and AD-8) generally contained greater lithium concentrations (0.056 mg/L to 0.147 mg/L) than other monitoring locations on Site that did not contain such subsoils. Soil samples collected from monitoring locations SB-04 (AD-5) and SB-05 (AD-8, background) similarly contained greater concentrations of lithium (10.5 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg] to 13.6 mg/kg) and iron (6,210 mg/kg to 10,400 mg/kg) than other locations on Site. While there is localized variation in the native soil sediments collected, these results demonstrate that the soils are a potential alternative source for lithium.

As shown on **Table 4-1** and **Figure 4-2**, the highest iron concentrations in soil are from soil boring SB-4 (AD-5; 10,400 mg/kg), located upgradient (northwest) of the PBAP, and soil boring SB-8 (AD-3; 11,000 mg/kg), located over 1,000 ft south (side gradient) of the PBAP. **Figure 4-3** shows an apparent correlation between the iron and lithium content in the coal ash, upgradient locations, and downgradient locations. However, SPLP and pore water results from the coal ash samples show that the iron and lithium present in the coal ash is not in a mobile form. Therefore, it is more likely that the regional groundwater interaction with naturally occurring lithium and iron is responsible for the observed lithium concentrations and variability across the Site. As detailed below in Section 4.2.2, iron and lithium concentrations in groundwater at the Site show a similar distribution to iron and lithium concentrations in soil, indicating naturally occurring sources for iron and lithium.

4.2.2 Groundwater Evaluation

Groundwater analytical results for the PBAP, the landfill, and the bottom ash storage pond are summarized on **Tables 4-2, 4-3, and 4-4**, respectively. As shown on **Figure 4-4**, the highest lithium concentration in groundwater is at monitoring well AD-18 (2.07 mg/L), which is west (upgradient) relative to the PBAP. This data indicates lithium concentrations in groundwater in the area of the PBAP are from a source other than the PBAP.

As shown on **Figure 4-5**, iron concentrations in groundwater are also elevated upgradient (west) relative to the PBAP. **Figure 4-6** shows the relationship of total and dissolved iron concentrations to lithium concentrations in upgradient, side-gradient, and downgradient monitoring wells. These results demonstrate a clear correlation between aqueous iron and lithium, with higher lithium concentrations associated with elevated iron. The greatest concentrations of both iron and lithium are observed in the upgradient monitoring wells AD-17 and AD-18. As identified in **Table 4-1** and noted on **Figure 4-6**, SPLP leachate and pore water analyzed from coal ash samples contain lithium in concentrations below detection, or at very low concentrations less than 0.02 mg/L. This data indicates lithium concentrations in groundwater in the area of the PBAP are from a source other than the PBAP. As discussed above in Section 2.2.1, the Queen City Formation, which overlies the Reklaw Formation, is located directly west of the Site. Therefore, groundwater from the Queen City Formation west (upgradient) of the CCR units may be the source of lithium and iron detected in soils and groundwater in the area of the CCR units. As discussed above in Section 2.3.1, elevated naturally occurring iron is documented in the Cypress Aquifer, and as discussed above in Section 2.2.1, the Queen City Formation contains naturally-occurring iron concretions.

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

Another line of evidence the lithium detected in groundwater in the area of the PBAP is from a naturally occurring source is provided in the 2002 Publication "Springs of Texas" (Gunnar Brune, 1981). The Springs of Texas publication states "*Hynoon Springs, also known as Marshall, Noonday Camp, and Iron Springs, are six kilometers north of Hallsville. They became very popular as a health resort about 1851. The waters are highly mineralized, containing much iron, sulfur, aluminum, and lithium. Originally there were said to be over 100 springs flowing from the Queen City Formation.*" This spring, which contains naturally-occurring lithium, is located approximately 35 miles southeast of the Site. A copy of this reference is provided in **Appendix A**.

When reviewing historical and recent datasets, a broad relationship was noted between trace metal chemistry and turbidity. Where turbidity values were greatest, greater concentrations of selected CCR monitored constituents were also observed (e.g. arsenic and cadmium) and in some cases, in exceedance of Federal MCLs. As a result, low-flow sampling methodology was employed to reduce the amount of turbidity in the groundwater sample.

A comprehensive groundwater sampling event was conducted at the Site by Arcadis during May 2018 using low-flow methodology. A clean stainless steel low-flow sampling pump with new, well-dedicated polyethylene piping was slowly lowered into the mid-point of the water column at each monitoring well, and groundwater was then pumped at a low flow rate of less than 0.1 liters per minute until the produced water was visually clear. The turbidity of the produced water was measured using calibrated field instruments during well development, and groundwater samples were not collected until the turbidity measurements declined and stabilized. Once low-flow groundwater sampling techniques were properly followed by Arcadis during May 2018, water quality results indicated concentrations of selected constituents to be much less than previously reported and did not exceed criteria. Therefore, it was determined that the sediment disturbances generated during well purging and improper (turbid) groundwater sampling were causing most of the Federal MCL groundwater exceedances. Specifically, since CCR Rule monitoring requires analysis of unfiltered samples, the results suggest that the exceedances were associated with constituents present in undissolved suspended solid particulates rather than in a dissolved form, on a location by location basis. The May 2018 groundwater analytical results are most representative of groundwater quality at the Site because proper low-flow sampling protocols were adhered to and sediment contributions to the analytical results were minimized.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This ASD has been prepared in consultation with the Electric Power Research Institute “Guidelines for Development of Alternative Source Demonstrations at Coal Combustion Residual Sites”. The following lines of evidence indicate the SSL related to the lithium concentration in groundwater at AD-8 is from naturally occurring sources (ASD Type V), with some additional minor contributions from sampling methodology error (ASD Type I):

- An SSI was confirmed for boron within monitoring well AD-8 followed by a failed Alternate Source Demonstration for boron, triggering the assessment monitoring program for the PBAP. Under the assessment monitoring program, an SSL was identified for lithium which exceeded the GWPS of 0.390 mg/L at monitoring well AD-9 (0.935 mg/L), despite no observed SSIs in Appendix III parameters for this well. SSIs would be expected for Appendix III parameters if there was a CCR unit source for the lithium exceedance of the SSL, indicating that there may be an alternate source of lithium.
- As demonstrated in this ASD report, iron and lithium are associated in the sediments and in groundwater. The subsoils at the Site, particularly the Susquehanna fine sandy loam, contain naturally occurring high clay content. The role of iron incorporated into the clay structure is important to localized geochemical processes, such as cation exchange, redox conditions, and hydrophilic properties, which can influence weathering characteristics and the mobility of trace constituents (i.e. lithium) in groundwater (Stucki, 2005). This is a supporting line of evidence.
- The highest lithium concentration in the uppermost saturated zone soil samples collected during the Arcadis May 2018 investigation was from a background soil sample (SB-4, 27 ft depth) located upgradient (northwest) of the PBAP near AD-5. This is a key line of evidence that the PBAP is not the source of elevated lithium concentrations in soil at the Site.
- Leachate and pore water analyzed from coal ash samples contain lithium in concentrations below detection, or at very low concentrations less than 0.02 mg/L. This data indicates lithium concentrations in groundwater in the area of the PBAP are from a source other than the PBAP. This is a key line of evidence.
- The highest lithium concentration in groundwater samples collected during the Arcadis May 2018 investigation was from an upgradient (background) monitoring well (AD-18) located west of the PBAP. This is a key line of evidence that the PBAP is not the source of elevated lithium concentrations in groundwater at the Site.
- Iron and lithium concentrations in soil and groundwater at the Site show a similar distribution, indicating there is likely a common source for these metals. The 1965 USGS publication “*Ground-Water Resources of Camp, Franklin, Morris and Titus Counties, Texas*” documents naturally occurring high iron concentrations within zones of the Cypress Aquifer, in which the monitoring wells at the Site are completed. The University of Texas at Austin Bureau of Economic Geology 1966 publication “*Geologic Atlas of Texas, Texarkana Sheet*” documents naturally occurring iron concretions in the Queen City Formation, which outcrops directly west (upgradient) of the PBAP. This is a supporting line of evidence.

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION - LITHIUM PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND

- The 1981 Gunnar Brune publication "*Springs of Texas*" documents naturally occurring elevated lithium in groundwater in the Queen City Formation at Hynoon Springs, which is approximately 35 miles from the Site. The publication states "*Hynoon Springs, also known as Marshall, Noonday Camp, and Iron Springs, are six kilometers north of Hallsville. They became very popular as a health resort about 1851. The waters are highly mineralized, containing much iron, sulfur, aluminum, and lithium. Originally there were said to be over 100 springs flowing from Queen City sand*". This publication, along with soil and groundwater analytical data at the Site, supports the conclusion that the primary source of lithium in groundwater at the PBAP is from the Queen City Formation, which outcrops directly west (upgradient) of the PBAP. This is a key line of evidence.
- Effective well development and proper low flow sampling techniques minimize the potential for groundwater analyses to be unrepresentative of formation groundwater. This is a supporting line of evidence.
- This ASD report provides a strong demonstration of naturally occurring sources of lithium in groundwater (ASD Type V) as supported by five key lines of evidence and three supporting lines of evidence.

6 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION

I, Kenneth J. Brandner, certify that this report was prepared under my direction and supervision, and that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. Based on my experience and knowledge of the site, the alternate source demonstration for lithium at the Primary Bottom Ash Pond meets the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257.95.

Kenneth J. Brandner

Printed Name of Registered Professional Engineer

Kenneth J. Brandner

Signature



69586

Registration No.

Texas

Registration State

2-7-19

Date

7 REFERENCES CITED

- Arcadis, "Primary Bottom Ash Pond – CCR Groundwater Monitoring Well Network Evaluation", August 22, 2017.
- Brune, Gunnar, "Springs of Texas", 1981.
- Drever, J.I., 2002, *The Geochemistry of Natural Waters, Surface and Groundwater Environments*, Third Edition, Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), 2017. *Guidelines for Development of Alternative Source Demonstrations at Coal Combustion Residual Sites*. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2017. 3002010920..
- Flawn, Peter T., 1966, "Geologic Atlas of Texas, Texarkana Sheet", The University of Texas at Austin Bureau of Economic Geology, July.
- Geosyntec, 2018, "Alternative Source Demonstration Initial Evaluation at Welsh Plant's Primary Bottom Ash Pond (PBAP), Memorandum, April 13.
- Geosyntec, 2019, "Statistical Analysis Summary, Primary Bottom Ash Pond, J. Robert Welsh Plant, Pittsburg, Texas", January 8.
- Ledger, E.B., Austin, S.F., and Judy, K., 2004. Natural Sources of Poor Water Quality in Streams of East Texas. 107th Annual Meeting of the Texas Academy of Science, Program and Abstracts. Schreiner University, Kerrville, Texas. March 4-6.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2017, <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/>.
- Stucki, J. W. 2005. Properties and behavior of iron in clay minerals. Chapter 8 *In* Bergaya, F., Theng, B.K.G., and Lagaly, G. (Eds.) *Handbook of Clay Science*, Elsevier, Amsterdam
- United States Geologic Survey (USGS), "Ground-Water Resources of Camp, Franklin, Morris, and Titus Counties, Texas", Texas Water Commission Bulletin 6517. July 1965.

TABLES



Table 2-1
Grain Size Distribution in Soil and Subsoil of the
Norfolk Sandy Loam
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Grain Size	Soil	Subsoil
Fine Gravel	0.0%	0.0%
Coarse Sand	0.2%	0.1%
Medium Sand	0.4%	0.3%
Fine Sand	29.4%	29.9%
Very Fine Sand	37.9%	24.0%
Silt	25.9%	25.1%
Clay	5.9%	20.2%

Table 2-2
Grain Size Distribution in Soil and Subsoil of the
Susquehanna Fine Sandy Loam
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Grain Size	Soil	Subsoil
Fine Gravel	0.4%	0.0%
Coarse Sand	0.7%	0.2%
Medium Sand	0.9%	0.8%
Fine Sand	53.4%	36.6%
Very Fine Sand	16.0%	10.8%
Silt	21.2%	19.0%
Clay	7.2%	32.8%

Table 2-3
Well Construction and Water Level Data - CCR Units
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well ID	Latitude	Longitude	Ground Surface Elevation	Top of Casing Elevation	Borehole Depth ft. bls	Date Installed	Screen Material	Well Diameter inches	Top of Screen		Bottom of Screen		6/7/2011	12/6/2011	5/2/2012	11/1/2012	5/14/2013	11/19/2013	5/12/2014	11/16/2014	5/12/2015	3/4/2016	5/26/2016	7/27/2016	10/19/2016	12/12/2016	1/17/2017	2/23/2017	10/6/2017	5/15/2018	10/29/2018	
									Depth ft. bls	Elevation ft. msl	Depth ft. bls	Elevation ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl	GW Elev. ft. msl
Monitoring Wells																																
AD-1 ^(c)	33° 02' 48"	94° 50' 47"	355.57	357.57	25.0	1/11/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	15.0	340.57	25.0	330.57	338.46	334.92	337.88	337.18	337.43	336.73	338.03	337.64	340.82	342.83	344.89	342.89	341.23	340.58	341.18	339.74	337.70	340.57	339.10	
AD-2 ^(c)	33° 02' 37"	94° 50' 44"	344.16	346.16	25.0	4/26/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	15.0	329.16	25.0	319.16	330.16	329.07	330.00	329.26	329.83	329.70	330.09	329.69	332.56	332.32	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	331.50	331.25	
AD-3 ^(c)	33° 02' 38"	94° 50' 37"	331.10	333.10	17.0	4/26/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	7.0	324.10	17.0	314.10	323.81	323.19	323.99	323.29	323.77	323.98	324.12	323.28	325.58	325.12	324.59	323.70	323.47	323.78	325.04	324.92	323.24	324.30	324.15	
AD-4 ^(c)	33° 02' 43"	94° 50' 33"	340.61	342.61	30.0	4/26/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	19.0	321.61	29.0	311.61	324.81	324.84	324.62	324.40	324.74	325.52	325.44	325.13	327.00	326.90	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-4a ^(a)	33.04527	94.84258	340.19	342.85	30.0	9/22/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	20.0	320.19	30.0	310.19	325.01	324.19	325.24	322.90	324.86	324.68	325.64	325.34	327.19	327.12	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-4b ^(a)	33.04531	94.84230	329.55	333.23	15.0	9/23/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	5.0	324.55	15.0	314.55	324.35	324.32	324.50	324.30	325.21	325.22	324.90	326.58	326.67	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-4c ^(a)	33.04507	94.84244	329.15	333.28	15.0	9/23/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	5.0	324.15	15.0	314.15	324.18	324.50	324.64	324.37	324.11	325.06	325.01	324.71	326.50	326.19	325.89	324.01	323.76	325.07	326.39	324.89	324.20	324.95	325.62	
AD-5 ^(c)	33° 03' 13"	94° 51' 00"	349.00	351.00	30.0	1/11/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	20.0	329.00	30.0	319.00	336.34	336.58	336.82	336.99	336.78	336.47	336.80	336.01	339.07	338.04	337.62	337.24	337.74	337.01	338.34	336.17	337.40	337.25	336.98	
AD-6 ^(a)	33.05235	94.84757	343.31	346.33	33.0	9/23/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	23.0	320.31	33.0	310.31	333.04	333.02	332.83	333.02	333.11	332.81	333.11	332.81	333.38	334.00	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	333.42	
AD-7 ^(a)	33.05257	94.84219	347.86	350.82	38.0	9/24/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	28.0	319.86	38.0	309.86	334.32	334.12	334.19	334.20	334.13	334.58	333.77	333.98	334.09	333.61	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-8 ^(a)	33.05187	94.84026	337.53	340.01	29.0	9/21/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	16.0	321.53	26.0	311.53	325.41	324.09	325.69	325.15	325.79	325.75	325.98	325.77	326.05	325.70	325.68	325.05	325.29	325.92	326.76	324.27	326.12	325.63	326.36	
AD-9 ^(a)	33.04995	94.84196	340.32	343.09	35.0	9/21/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	20.0	320.32	35.0	305.32	328.46	328.53	328.63	328.44	328.74	329.38	NM	330.18	329.98	329.74	329.28	329.53	328.92	329.31	330.50	328.05	329.47	329.40	329.98	
AD-10 ^(a)	33.04881	94.84047	340.23	343.01	35.0	9/22/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	20.0	320.23	35.0	305.23	323.44	322.55	323.27	323.35	323.51	323.76	323.57	323.88	323.95	323.55	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	323.53	324.19	
AD-11 ^(a)	33.04824	94.84177	339.61	342.18	20.0	9/22/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	10.0	329.61	20.0	319.61	327.99	328.37	327.82	327.93	327.94	328.13	328.20	327.97	328.96	328.13	328.39	328.14	327.87	328.20	328.90	328.25	327.85	327.61	327.83	
AD-12 ^(a)	33.04901	94.84977	366.27	369.33	30.0	9/24/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	20.0	346.27	30.0	336.27	348.30	348.29	349.86	349.56	349.99	349.65	349.89	350.01	350.65	350.39	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	349.52	348.28
AD-13 ^(a)	33.04918	94.84275	344.12	347.00	20.0	9/22/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	6.0	338.12	16.0	328.12	332.36	332.24	333.09	332.26	332.68	333.25	333.35	332.01	337.58	334.76	334.54	332.93	332.39	332.84	334.54	331.83	331.42	331.83	331.52	
AD-14 ^(a)	33.04715	94.84256	342.32	345.43	19.0	9/22/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	8.0	334.32	18.0	324.32	330.40	329.80	331.67	330.34	330.94	331.69	332.12	330.17	336.63	334.83	334.51	331.71	330.94	330.79	332.63	330.87	329.91	330.76	330.52	
AD-15 ^(d)	33° 03' 04"	94° 50' 27"	340.21	343.29	46.0	12/12/15	Sch. 40 PVC	2	25.5	314.71	45.5	294.71	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-16 ^(d)	33° 02' 49"	94° 50' 29"	350.86	353.97	21.0	12/10/15	Sch. 40 PVC	2	11.0	339.86	21.0	329.86	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-16R ^(e)	33° 02' 49"	94° 50' 28.9"	350.55	353.49	27.0	4/12/17	Sch. 40 PVC	2	12.0	338.55	27.0	328.55	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-17 ^(d)	33° 02' 57"	94° 51' 06"	353.99	357.10	40.0	12/10/15	Sch. 40 PVC	2	24.0	329.99	39.0	314.99	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-18 ^(d)	33° 03' 03"	94° 51' 03"	346.17	349.28	29.0	12/11/15	Sch. 40 PVC	2	14.0	332.17	29.0	317.17	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-19	33.047201°	94.839694°	323.58	326.35	15.0	5/8/18	Sch. 40 PVC	2	5.0	318.58	15.0	308.58	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-20	33° 02' 45.6"	94° 50' 22.8"	324.85	327.65	20.0	10/23/18	Sch. 40 PVC	2	4.0	320.85	19.0	305.85	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
AD-21	33° 02' 49.6"	94° 50' 20"	322.04	325.29	20.0	10/23/18	Sch. 40 PVC	2	3.5	318.54	18.5	303.54	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Piezometers																																
B-2 ^(b)	33° 03.078'	94° 50.449'	339.7	339.7	50.0	10/28/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	10.0	329.70	20.0	319.70	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
B-4 ^(b)	33° 03.011'	94° 50.462'	340.6	340.6	50.0	10/27/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	8.0	332.60	18.0	322.60	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
B-5 ^(b)	33° 02.964'	94° 50.428'	340.0	340.0	50.0	10/27/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	10.0	330.00	20.0	320.00	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
B-6 ^(b)	33° 02.912'	94° 50.462'	340.1	340.1	50.0	10/28/09	Sch. 40 PVC	2	12.0	328.10	22.0	318.10	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Temp-1	33.046864°	94.852059°	356.36	358.17	28.0	5/8/18	Sch. 40 PVC	2	8.0	348.36	28.0	328.36	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
MW-9	33° 03' 18"	94° 50' 19.4"	342.00	344.54	18.0	11/19/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	3.0	339.00	18.0	324.00	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
MW-10	33° 03' 13.6"	94° 50' 19.4"	341.96	344.80	19.0	11/19/01	Sch. 40 PVC	2	4.0	337.96	19.0	322.96	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NOTES:
 NM = Not measured
 (a) Source: Eagle Environmental Services Well Logs (2009).
 (b) Source: ETTL Engineers & Consultants Inc. (June 21, 2010).
 (c) Source: Southwest Electric Power, State of Texas Well Report (2001).
 (d) Source: Auckland Consulting LLC (January 26, 2016). Monitoring wells AD-15 through AD-18 installed during December 2015.
 (e) Monitoring well installed by ARCADIS on April 12, 2017 as a replacement for monitoring well AD-16.
 Groundwater Elevation Source: AEP, Shallow Groundwater Data Summary through February 2017.
 1983 State Plane Lambert Coordinate System
 Datum: NAD 83
 ft bls = feet below land surface
 ft msl = feet above mean sea level
 Elev. = Elevation
 --- = No record

Table 4-1
Soil and Coal Ash Sample Analytical Results (mg/kg) - CCR Units
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth (feet)	Units	Appendix III Parameters							Appendix IV Parameters														Iron	Manganese
				Boron	Calcium	Chloride	Fluoride	pH	Sulfate	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)			
Soil Samples																										
Temp-1	5/8/18	15'	mg/kg	14.3	43.3	15	<1	5.0	93	<0.25	1.77	16.8	<0.05	<0.05	5.22	0.28	1.77	0.104	0.004	1.18	<0.25	1.26	0.273	<12.5	5.4	
SB-2	5/10/18	22'	mg/kg	11.9	35.8	13	2	3.9	878	<0.25	<0.25	18.3	0.08	<0.05	3.53	0.551	3.98	0.08	0.005	0.287	0.684	<0.25	0.159	890	4.46	
(AD-17)																										
SB-3	5/10/18	30'	mg/kg	3.05	90.2	94	1	3.8	1,194	<0.25	3.83	13.6	<0.05	0.132	9.21	0.649	4.22	0.322	0.009	1.64	<0.25	<0.25	0.593	3,960	6.87	
(AD-18)																										
SB-4	5/9/18	5'	mg/kg	(FOC = 0.00723 g/g)			---	4.8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
(AD-5)		27'	mg/kg	7.76	634	8	1	6.4	724	<0.25	1.81	20.4	0.115	0.417	6.73	4.76	3.2	13.6	0.006	0.561	0.536	<0.25	0.657	10,400	65.5	
(Background)		27'	mg/kg	(FOC = 0.00688 g/g)																						
SB-5	5/9/18	19'	mg/kg	5.45	655	16	3	7.2	69	<0.25	1.11	8.53	0.109	0.241	3.75	3.58	2.96	10.5	0.044	0.313	0.297	<0.25	0.216	6,210	35.5	
(AD-8)																										
SB-6	5/9/18	21'	mg/kg	5.33	397	20	2	7.8	116	<0.25	1.11	17.9	0.09	0.24	3.5	3.37	2.67	10.3	0.051	0.299	0.471	<0.25	2.502	5,970	38.4	
(AD-9)																										
SB-7	5/9/18	13'	mg/kg	8.11	1,360	19	<1	5.0	198	<0.25	10.1	65	0.154	0.356	6.87	3.21	3.14	5.3	0.004	1.39	<0.25	<0.25	0.262	9,220	28.4	
(AD-13)																										
SB-8	5/9/18	12'	mg/kg	16.6	6,150	13	1	5.2	24	<0.25	3.3	213	0.409	0.452	8.22	4.13	9.05	4.63	0.013	0.488	<0.25	<0.25	0.433	11,000	25.4	
(AD-3)																										
AD-20	10/23/18	15-17	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	0.567	---	---	
AD-21	10/23/18	15-17	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	1.424	---	---	
Coal Ash Samples																										
Ash-1	5/10/18	1-2'	mg/kg	34.4	33,800	30.5	8.21	7.1	219	<0.877	14.6	607	1.02	0.464	31.8	5.55	16.9	11.6	0.0473	2.66	2.27	<0.54	2.92	37,500	139	
		SPLP:	mg/L	0.594	30.2	---	---	---	---	<0.00344	<0.00411	0.284	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00273	<0.000553	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	0.0176	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.0991	<0.0305	<0.00267	
		Pore Water:	mg/L	0.643	113	20.1	1.86	7.4	6.6	<0.00344	0.0095	3.43	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00396	<0.000553	<0.00285	0.0123	<0.0000653	0.00484	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.755	---	0.357	
Ash-2	5/10/18	1-2'	mg/kg	92.6	96,000	53.8	11.2	7.3	293	<1.56	19.4	2,760	1.64	1.56	41.2	9.63	24.5	15.5	0.0967	2.08	5.25	<0.957	2.32	18,300	365	
		SPLP:	mg/L	0.526	24.1	---	---	---	---	<0.00344	<0.00411	0.192	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00222	<0.000553	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	0.0165	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.112	<0.0305	<0.00267	
		Pore Water:	mg/L	0.772	143	20.4	0.28	7.6	8.73	<0.00344	0.0106	3.99	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00196	<0.000553	0.00346	0.0173	<0.0000653	0.00428	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.508	---	0.376	
Ash-3	5/10/18	1-2'	mg/kg	29	14,300	11.5	10.7	7.4	152	<0.687	11.8	766	0.845	0.394	19.2	5.77	12.2	6.87	0.0403	1.79	1.44	<0.423	1.754	21,100	110	
		SPLP:	mg/L	0.958	19.8	---	---	---	---	<0.00344	<0.00411	0.0315	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00389	<0.000553	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	0.0222	<0.00363	<0.00287	<0.256	0.471	<0.00267	
		Pore Water:	mg/L	1.000	103	13.0	0.998	7.6	51.1	<0.00344	0.0108	1.54	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00110	<0.000553	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	0.0111	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.594	---	0.715	
Ash-4	5/10/18	1-2'	mg/kg	281	106,000	27.6	1.34	10.5	961	<0.757	9.72	3,390	2.23	1.06	35.1	16.2	16.3	20.4	0.0340	2.21	1.30	<0.466	3.18	24,200	177	
		SPLP:	mg/L	1.3	25.1	---	---	---	---	<0.00344	<0.00411	0.0216	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00329	<0.000553	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	<0.00281	<0.00363	<0.00287	<0.407	<0.0305	<0.00267	
		Pore Water:	mg/L	4.75	63.5	28.8	0.697	10.8	381	<0.00344	0.00745	0.217	<0.000333	<0.000164	0.00225	0.00093	<0.00285	<0.0086	<0.0000653	0.0798	<0.00363	<0.00287	0.259	---	0.00814	

NOTES:
 mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram
 mg/L = Milligrams per liter
 FOC = Fraction organic carbon (Walkley Black)
 --- = Not analyzed
 SPLP = Synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (concentrations shown in milligrams per liter)
 Total concentrations (mg/kg) shown in normal font, SPLP and Pore Water concentrations (mg/L) shown in italics.
 Radium concentrations for soil shown in pCi/L. SPLP concentrations shown in pCi/L.

Table 4-2
 Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Primary Bottom Ash Pond
 AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
 Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters									Appendix IV Parameters												Iron	Manganese	
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium			Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)
Background (Upgradient) Wells																									
AD-1	05/26/16	0.346	36.5	5	<1	5.93	--	42	252	<0.005	<0.005	0.191	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.010	0.000033	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.18	--	--
	07/27/16	0.350	39.6	4	<1	5.93	--	36	239	<0.005	<0.005	0.191	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.9952	--	--
	09/29/16	0.332	15	5	<1	5.37	--	35	173	<0.005	<0.005	0.141	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.38	--	--
	10/19/16	0.398	19.1	4	<1	5.15	--	42	192	<0.005	<0.005	0.114	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.141	--	--
	12/12/16	0.394	8.74	4	<1	5.18	--	40	200	<0.005	<0.005	0.072	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.719	--	--
	01/17/17	0.656	129	4	<1	7.13	--	68	538	<0.005	<0.005	0.410	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.001	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.009	--	--
	02/23/17	0.700	147	9	<1	6.88	--	68	612	<0.005	<0.005	0.488	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.001	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.309	--	--
	06/07/17	0.449	15.1	4	<0.083	5.06	109	42	176	<0.00093	0.00114	0.09346	0.00037	<0.00007	0.00066	0.00077	<0.00068	0.00902	0.000007	<0.00029	0.0021	<0.00086	0.676	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.25	97.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.352	12.1	3	<0.083	4.82	8.4	--	174	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08823	0.00048	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.0008	<0.00068	0.00816	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.837	0.03	0.025
Dissolved	0.35	12	--	--	4.82	8.4	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08582	0.00044	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00083	<0.00068	0.00799	<0.00005	<0.00029	0.00197	<0.00086	0.531	0.01	0.026	
05/24/18	0.345	10.2	4	<0.083	5.19	118	43	150	0.00317 J	<0.00105	0.0799	0.00039 J	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00035 J	<0.00068	0.00814	0.000006 J	<0.00029	0.00138 J	<0.00086	1.983	--	--	
08/14/18	0.443	5.95	5	<0.083	5.18	102	44	160	0.00003 J	0.00021	0.063	0.000482	0.00002	0.00016	0.000797	0.000238	0.00708	0.000013 J	0.00021	0.0017	0.00003 J	1.10	--	--	
AD-5	05/31/16	0.03	36.9	15	<1	6.38	--	123	337	<0.005	<0.005	0.057	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.135	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.63	--	--	
	07/28/16	0.04	44.7	16	<1	6.38	--	163	360	<0.005	<0.005	0.093	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	<0.005	0.191	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.75	--	--	
	09/29/16	0.04	46.3	15	<1	5.29	--	190	416	<0.005	<0.005	0.087	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.186	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.33	--	--	
	10/20/16	0.05	50.7	14	<1	5.92	--	267	448	<0.005	<0.005	0.07	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.225	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.319	--	--	
	12/13/16	0.05	49.6	13	<1	6.29	--	233	484	<0.005	<0.005	0.053	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.199	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.182	--	--	
	01/17/17	0.04	49.8	14	<1	6.27	--	234	438	<0.005	<0.005	0.047	<0.001	<0.001	0.012	<0.005	0.239	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.023	--	--	
	02/23/17	0.04	33.0	15	<1	5.48	--	127	286	<0.005	<0.005	0.042	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.166	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.788	--	--	
	06/07/17	0.05281	49.7	14	<0.083	5.96	867	82	300	<0.00093	0.00385	0.0877	0.00008	0.00039	0.00028	0.01193	<0.00068	0.124	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.32	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.59	249	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	05/17/18	0.05063	30.1	21	<0.083	5.79	<100	--	248	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07627	0.00014	0.00037	<0.00023	0.01907	<0.00068	0.118	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.495	14.4	0.45
Dissolved	0.03752	29.1	--	--	5.79	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.06865	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.01747	<0.00068	0.119	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.051	8.38	0.43	
05/24/18	0.05007	28.1	22	<0.083	6.22	17.8	60	242	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07116	<0.00002	0.00023 J	0.0008 J	0.01424	<0.00068	0.121	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.946	--	--	
08/15/18	0.05	40.5	19	<0.083	6.23	57.1	240	428	0.00001 J	0.00169	0.0637	0.000055	0.000008 J	0.000072	0.0114	0.000079	0.147	<0.00005	0.00013	0.00008 J	<0.01	0.316	--	--	
AD-17	05/26/16	0.121	200	43	<1	7.17	--	1,166	1,810	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	<0.001	0.002	0.001	0.063	<0.005	0.370	0.000032	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.53	--	--
	07/27/16	0.119	195	32	<1	7.17	--	1,005	1,576	<0.005	<0.005	0.020	<0.001	0.004	0.001	0.068	<0.005	0.374	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.78	--	--
	09/29/16	0.111	191	36	<1	6.17	--	1,055	1,663	<0.005	<0.005	0.031	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	0.058	<0.005	0.354	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.358	--	--
	10/20/16	0.124	194	32	1.0	6.14	--	1,163	1,612	<0.005	<0.005	0.034	<0.001	0.002	0.004	0.065	<0.005	0.394	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.224	--	--
	12/13/16	0.135	196	31	<1	6.03	--	1,096	1,560	<0.005	<0.005	0.017	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.068	<0.005	0.323	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.384	--	--
	01/17/17	0.101	196	33	<1	5.96	--	1,445	1,686	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	<0.001	0.003	0.068	0.068	<0.005	0.341	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.436	--	--
	02/22/17	0.135	189	30	<1	5.67	--	1,055	1,628	<0.005	<0.005	0.020	<0.001	0.002	0.001	0.073	<0.005	0.331	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.288	--	--
	06/06/17	0.121	188	30	<0.083	5.81	156	1,105	1,578	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.01033	<0.00002	0.00606	<0.00023	0.0748	<0.00068	0.329	0.000013	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.598	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	5.92	598	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	05/17/18	0.247	213	45	<0.083	5.51	<100	--	1,846	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00978	<0.00002	0.00915	<0.00023	0.07451	<0.00068	0.306	<0.00005	<0.00029	0.00414	<0.00086	1.514	260	3.72
Dissolved	0.231	205	--	--	5.51	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00737	<0.00002	0.00609	<0.00023	0.07938	<0.00068	0.301	<0.00005	<0.00029	0.00515	0.02	1.57	241	3.56	
05/24/18	0.239	193	39	<0.083	6.28	7.8	1,067	1,836	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00965	<0.00002	0.00646	<0.00023	0.07173	<0.00068	0.308	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.939	--	--	
08/15/18	0.118	187	40	<0.083	5.60	418	1,170	1,750	0.00002 J	0.00183	0.0128	0.000069	0.00025	0.000604	0.0435	0.0011	0.243	0.000011 J	0.00035	0.0003	0.000074	2.35	--	--	
Background Statistical Evaluation Summary - Upper Prediction Limits:^a										0.005	0.005	0.36	0.00077	0.0065	0.004	0.075	0.005	0.39	0.000033	0.005	0.005	0.0013	4.21	--	--

Table 4-2
 Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Primary Bottom Ash Pond
 AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
 Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters														Iron	Manganese
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)		
Point of Compliance Wells																									
AD-8	05/31/16	1.46	32.6	36	1	6.91	--	217	524	<0.005	<0.005	0.034	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.007	<0.005	0.122	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.046	--	--
	07/28/16	1.44	25.9	26	<1	6.91	--	202	469	<0.005	<0.005	0.026	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.098	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.584	--	--
	09/29/16	1.51	24.3	28	<1	7.65	--	186	432	<0.005	<0.005	0.023	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	<0.005	0.111	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	6.3	--	--
	10/20/16	1.54	25.9	30	<1	6.07	--	184	424	<0.005	<0.005	0.024	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	<0.005	0.135	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.345	--	--
	12/12/16	1.53	23.6	27	<1	5.62	--	168	442	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	<0.005	0.11	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.083	--	--
	01/19/17	1.53	18.7	24	1	6.21	--	153	352	<0.005	<0.005	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	<0.005	0.094	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.823	--	--
	02/22/17	1.67	19.3	22	<1	6.78	--	163	356	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	<0.005	0.092	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.536	--	--
	06/06/17	1.39	17.4	22	0.6628	5.63	54	151	368	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.01908	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00386	<0.00068	0.09491	0.000008	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.0735	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	6.68	41	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/30/18	1.29	17.2	22	0.716	6.07	3.0	--	368	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02283	0.00004	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00521	<0.00068	0.08418	0.000009	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.106	0.673	0.388
	Dissolved	1.31	17.1	--	--	6.07	3.0	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02046	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00513	<0.00068	0.08356	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.5773	<0.01	0.363
05/23/18	--	--	--	0.501 J	6.20	48.2	--	--	0.00319 J	<0.00105	0.02212	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00319 J	<0.00068	0.0956	<0.00005	<0.00029	0.00175 J	<0.00086	0.3366	--	--	
8/15/18 ^b	1.30	15.0	24	0.615 J	6.77	104	122	288	0.00001 J	0.00031	0.0212	0.000008 J	0.000002 J	0.00005	0.00536	0.000039	0.0555	0.000007 J	0.00016	0.00007 J	0.000129	3.44	--	--	
AD-9	05/31/16	0.12	229	88	<1	6.32	--	1,352	2,541	<0.005	<0.005	0.051	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.027	<0.005	1.32	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.95	--	--
	07/28/16	0.105	255	98	<1	6.32	--	1,464	2,564	<0.005	<0.005	0.031	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.022	<0.005	1.38	0.000045	<0.005	0.008	<0.002	1.447	--	--
	09/29/16	0.115	220	86	<1	4.72	--	1,301	2,448	<0.005	<0.005	0.033	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.012	<0.005	1.17	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.199	--	--
	10/19/16	0.109	228	76	1	5.22	--	1,350	2,494	<0.005	<0.005	0.026	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.016	<0.005	1.44	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.311	--	--
	12/12/16	0.108	250	92	<1	5.72	--	1,639	2,667	<0.005	<0.005	0.027	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.024	<0.005	1.33	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.0	--	--
	01/19/17	0.312	91.1	54	<1	5.43	--	884	1,360	<0.005	<0.005	0.098	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.042	<0.005	0.634	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.349	--	--
	02/22/17	0.1	258	86	<1	5.77	--	1,774	2,662	<0.005	<0.005	0.022	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.024	<0.005	1.41	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.32	--	--
	06/06/17	0.146	191	19	<0.083	4.61	100	105	308	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.04227	0.00077	0.00222	<0.00023	0.02416	<0.00068	1.00	0.000006	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.586	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	5.78	102	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/16/18	0.08607	10.5	85	<0.083	4.20	<100	--	1,972	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.04937	0.00134	0.00023	<0.00023	0.01628	<0.00068	0.217	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.582	0.446	0.378
	Dissolved	0.07126	10.2	--	--	4.20	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.04695	0.00122	0.00012	<0.00023	0.01592	<0.00068	0.204	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.549	0.166	0.369
05/23/18	--	--	--	<0.083	5.30	44.6	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.03045	0.00032 J	0.00288	<0.00023	0.0267	<0.00068	1.20	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	0.00846	2.556	--	--	
8/15/18 ^b	0.198	230	103	<0.083	4.96	237	1,910	2,694	<0.01	0.00168	0.0242	0.000268	0.00006	0.00042	0.0111	0.000262	0.851	0.000013 J	0.00011	0.0003	0.000062	1.864	--	--	
AD-15	05/31/16	0.329	5.09	30	<1	5.58	--	24	188	<0.005	0.012	0.215	<0.001	<0.001	0.017	0.011	0.007	0.017	0.000054	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.28	--	--
	07/28/16	0.407	3.83	34	<1	5.58	--	28	196	<0.005	0.006	0.124	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.006	<0.005	0.021	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.322	--	--
	09/29/16	0.360	13.7	28	<1	4.57	--	23	367	<0.005	0.131	1.93	0.015	0.007	0.28	0.134	0.161	0.149	0.000707	<0.005	0.014	<0.002	9.92	--	--
	10/19/16	0.152	4.57	26	<1	4.35	--	17	152	<0.005	0.023	0.415	0.002	<0.001	0.054	0.019	0.022	0.036	0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.567	--	--
	12/12/16	0.334	3.60	26	<1	4.67	--	19	204	<0.005	0.006	0.184	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	0.010	<0.005	0.013	0.000026	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.36	--	--
	01/19/17	0.413	3.35	32	<1	5.77	--	25	176	<0.005	0.006	0.153	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	0.007	<0.005	0.008	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.386	--	--
	02/22/17	0.100	4.21	20	<1	4.95	--	8	88	<0.005	0.020	0.353	0.002	<0.001	0.049	0.020	0.019	0.025	0.000058	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.261	--	--
	06/06/17	0.321	3.57	27	<0.083	4.83	246	19	184	<0.00093	0.00854	0.166	0.00061	0.00048	0.01235	0.00844	0.00298	0.0108	0.000022	<0.00029	0.00271	<0.00086	2.491	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	5.94	208	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/30/18	0.08009	2.49	22	<0.083	4.60	7.32	94	<0.00093	0.00222	0.08419	0.00024	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00403	<0.00068	0.00395	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.749	6.64	0.036	
	Dissolved	0.05773	2.49	--	--	4.60	7.32	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08405	0.00019	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00346	<0.00068	0.00378	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.748	<0.01	0.034
Field Filtered ^c	0.301	3.03	35	<0.083	4.60	7.32	--	8	<0.00093	0.00216	0.08611	0.00012	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00421	<0.00068	0.00498	<0.00005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.630	7.09	0.061	
FF Dissolved ^c	0.309	3	--	--	4.60	7.32	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08373	0.00024	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.0038	<0.00068	0.00516	<0.00005	0.00048	<0.00099	<0.00086	5.743	<0.01	0.062	
05/23/18	--	--	--	<0.083	4.76	147	--	--	<0.00093	0.00256 J	0.102	0.00003 J	0.0001 J	0.00263	0.00474 J	<0.00068	0.00562	<0.00005	<0.00029	0.00154 J	0.00137 J	1.46	--	--	
8/15/18 ^b	0.341	3.04	37	<0.083	4.59	249	24	174	0.00003 J	0.00326	0.0852	0.000116	0.00001 J	0.000481	0.00371	0.000438	0.00338	0.000008 J	0.00005 J	0.0009	0.00009	1.076	--	--	

Table 4-2
Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Primary Bottom Ash Pond
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters													Iron	Manganese	
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium			Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)
Supplemental Downgradient Monitoring Wells																									
AD-10	5/16/2018 <i>Dissolved</i>	0.08311 <i>0.07733</i>	15.5 15.3	40 --	<0.083 --	3.72 --	<100 --	-- --	280 --	<0.00093 <0.00093	0.0022 <0.00105	0.03855 0.03712	0.00166 0.00149	0.00033 0.00009	<0.00023 <0.00023	0.02432 0.02412	<0.00068 <0.00068	0.316 0.296	<0.000005 <0.000005	<0.00029 <0.00029	<0.00099 <0.00099	0.00098 <0.00086	1.704 1.505	0.338 0.282	0.25 0.251
Supplemental Sidegradient Monitoring Wells																									
MW-9	5/15/2018 <i>Dissolved</i>	0.578 <i>0.556</i>	44.8 44.7	93 --	<0.083 --	4.74 --	57.4 --	-- --	780 --	0.00097 <0.00093	<0.00105 <0.00105	0.01661 0.01588	0.00021 0.00015	0.00019 0.00036	<0.00023 <0.00023	0.03083 0.03189	<0.00068 0.00813	0.03225 0.03151	0.000127 0.00015	<0.00029 <0.00029	<0.00099 <0.00099	<0.00086 <0.00086	0.779 0.2578	0.142 < 0.01	0.306 0.308
MW-10	5/15/2018 <i>Dissolved</i>	0.707 <i>0.689</i>	59.3 59.8	5 --	<0.083 --	6.68 --	1.7 --	-- --	346 --	<0.00093 <0.00093	0.00128 <0.00105	0.08634 0.08253	0.00006 <0.00002	<0.00007 <0.00007	<0.00023 <0.00023	0.00385 0.00064	<0.00068 <0.00068	0.01001 0.00924	<0.000005 <0.000005	0.00079 0.00082	0.01898 0.01651	<0.00086 <0.00086	0.969 1.026	0.101 < 0.01	0.054 0.002
Reference Values:																									
MCL					4					0.006	0.01	2	0.004	0.005	0.1				0.002		0.05	0.002	5 ^e		
Rule Specified																0.006	0.015	0.04		0.1					
Background Limit					1					0.005	0.005	0.36	0.00077	0.0065 ^d	0.004	0.075 ^d	0.005	0.39 ^d	0.000033	0.005	0.005	0.0013	4.21 ^e		
Interwell Background Value(s) (UPL, LPL where applicable) AD-8, AD-9, AD-15		0.652				4.81-6.99																			
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-8			35.68	38.3	1.034				236	569															
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-9			350	139.3	0.7259				2527	3147															
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-15			5.71	38.42	1				35.6	388															

NOTES:
 All concentration data are provided in milligrams per liter (mg/L) unless otherwise noted.
 J = Analyte was positively identified, though the quantitation was below Reporting Limit.
 MCL = Maximum contaminant level
 LPL = Lower prediction limit
 UPL = Upper prediction limit
 pCi/L = PicoCuries per liter
 -- = Not analyzed
 a = Data taken from Geosyntec "Statistical Analysis Summary, Primary Bottom Ash Pond" dated January 8, 2019.
 b = Some inorganic analyte groundwater samples collected 9/17/18.
 c = Sample ID "AD-15 DUP" was field filtered (FF) using a 5 micron filter.
 d = Calculated Upper Tolerance Limit is higher than MCL.
 e = Data is "Combined Radium, Total".
 Denotes groundwater sample collected by ARCADIS using low-flow methods.
 Unless otherwise noted, values shown are total (unfiltered) analyses.
 Dissolved (0.45-micron lab filtered) parameter concentrations shown in italics.

Table 4-3
Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Landfill
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters													Iron	Manganese	
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium			Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)
Background (Upgradient) Wells																									
AD-5	05/31/16	0.03	36.9	15	<1	6.38	--	123	337	<0.005	<0.005	0.057	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.135	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.63	--	--
	07/28/16	0.04	44.7	16	<1	6.38	--	163	360	<0.005	<0.005	0.093	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	<0.005	0.191	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.75	--	--
	09/29/16	0.04	46.3	15	<1	5.29	--	190	416	<0.005	<0.005	0.087	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.186	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.33	--	--
	10/20/16	0.05	50.7	14	<1	5.92	--	267	448	<0.005	<0.005	0.07	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.225	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.319	--	--
	12/13/16	0.05	49.6	13	<1	6.29	--	233	484	<0.005	<0.005	0.053	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.199	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.182	--	--
	01/17/17	0.04	49.8	14	<1	6.27	--	234	438	<0.005	<0.005	0.047	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.012	<0.005	0.239	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.023	--	--
	02/23/17	0.04	33.0	15	<1	5.48	--	127	286	<0.005	<0.005	0.042	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.166	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.788	--	--
	06/07/17	0.05281	49.7	14	<0.083	5.96	867	82	300	<0.00093	0.00385	0.0877	0.00008	0.00039	0.00028	0.01193	<0.00068	0.124	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.32	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.59	249	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.05063	30.1	21	<0.083	5.79	<100	--	248	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07627	0.00014	0.00037	<0.00023	0.01907	<0.00068	0.118	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.495	14.4	0.45
Dissolved	0.03752	29.1	--	--	5.79	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.06865	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.01747	<0.00068	0.119	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.051	8.38	0.43	
05/24/18	0.05007	28.1	22	<0.083	6.22	17.8	60	242	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07116	<0.00002	0.00023 J	0.0008 J	0.01424	<0.00068	0.121	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.946	--	--	
08/15/18	0.05	40.5	19	<0.083	6.23	57.1	240	428	0.00001 J	0.00169	0.0637	0.000055	0.000008 J	0.000072	0.0114	0.000079	0.147	<0.000005	0.00013	0.00008 J	<0.01	0.316	--	--	
AD-18	05/26/16	0.146	409	422	<1	5.1	--	5,135	10,000	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	0.014	0.003	<0.001	0.922	<0.005	2.07	0.000168	<0.005	0.006	0.003	12.6	--	--
	07/27/16	0.148	457	432	2	5.1	--	4,930	9,476	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	0.005	0.002	<0.001	0.734	<0.005	1.94	0.000091	<0.005	0.007	0.003	10.62	--	--
	09/29/16	0.156	469	637	4	5.59	--	4,632	9,569	<0.005	<0.005	0.02	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.666	<0.005	1.86	0.000117	<0.005	0.007	<0.002	7.05	--	--
	10/20/16	0.188	498	876	0.8664	5.7	--	5,537	9,540	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	0.002	0.001	<0.001	0.569	<0.005	2.06	0.000053	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	5.82	--	--
	12/13/16	0.178	510	695	5	5.75	--	4,382	8,912	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	0.007	0.001	<0.001	0.641	<0.005	1.74	0.00005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	9.6	--	--
	01/17/17	0.050	412	159	5	4.49	--	5,414	8,562	<0.005	0.01	0.014	0.022	0.001	<0.001	0.929	<0.005	1.95	0.000224	<0.005	<0.005	0.002	22.51	--	--
	02/22/17	0.090	401	151	6	4.37	--	5,169	8,412	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	0.026	0.002	<0.001	0.961	<0.005	1.82	0.000107	<0.005	<0.005	0.00228	19.11	--	--
	06/06/17	0.125	428	304	6.53	4.27	121	5,920	9,394	<0.00093	0.00331	0.01038	0.01883	0.00303	<0.00023	0.940	<0.00068	2.15	0.000113	<0.00029	0.00212	<0.00086	16.12	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	5.87	165	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.163	433	362	9.4	3.61	104.1	--	9,952	0.00224	0.00276	0.00813	0.01733	0.0036	0.00098	0.928	<0.00068	2.07	0.000043	<0.00029	0.00194	0.00144	19.95	19.7	14.1
Dissolved	0.153	423	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.00467	0.00189	0.00748	0.01676	0.00316	<0.00023	0.898	<0.00068	2.06	0.000012	<0.00029	0.00135	0.01466	18.09	19.1	13.7	
Background Statistical Evaluation Summary - Upper Prediction Limits:^a										0.005	0.005	0.36	0.00077	0.0065	0.004	0.075	0.005	0.39	0.000033	0.005	0.005	0.002	4.21	---	---
Point of Compliance Wells																									
AD-11	05/31/16	2.47	8.47	9	2	5.21	--	518	388	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	0.004	<0.001	0.003	0.026	<0.005	0.032	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.77	--	--
	07/28/16	2.83	8.88	10	2	5.21	--	596	1,000	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.026	<0.005	0.047	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.23	--	--
	09/29/16	3.4	10.7	12	2	4.08	--	683	1,065	<0.005	<0.005	0.052	0.005	<0.001	0.007	0.03	<0.005	0.047	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.92	--	--
	10/19/16	3.77	8.78	11	<1	3.68	--	706	1,024	<0.005	<0.005	0.02	0.005	<0.001	0.002	0.027	<0.005	0.047	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.56	--	--
	12/12/16	3.36	8.98	10	2	3.75	--	548	1,044	<0.005	<0.005	0.013	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.025	<0.005	0.041	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.569	--	--
	01/17/17	2.81	10.3	11	2	4.41	--	760	1,048	<0.005	<0.005	0.013	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.025	<0.005	0.046	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.082	--	--
	02/22/17	2.88	9.31	10	2	4.34	--	558	876	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	0.004	<0.001	0.002	0.024	<0.005	0.035	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.45	--	--
	06/06/17	2.79	9.93	10	1.366	3.86	219	556	960	<0.00093	0.00123	0.01012	0.00279	0.00041	0.00032	0.02216	<0.00068	0.03654	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.902	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	4.43	162	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/16/18	1.48	4.37	10	<0.083	3.77	75.3	--	558	0.00417	0.00127	0.01281	0.00148	0.00053	0.00041	0.00935	<0.00068	0.01978	<0.000005	0.00094	0.00103	<0.00086	1.264	1.35	0.063
	Dissolved	1.45	4.28	--	--	3.77	75.3	--	--	<0.00093	0.00278	0.01202	0.00098	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00877	<0.00068	0.01836	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.656	1.25	0.062
	05/23/18	--	--	--	<0.083	4.05	49.8	--	--	<0.00093	0.0026 J	0.01627	0.00089 J	0.00018 J	0.0008 J	0.00863	<0.00068	0.01875	0.000007 J	<0.00029	0.00134 J	0.046	1.912	--	--
	08/15/18	1.84	6.61	15	<0.083	4.73	112	410	720	--	0.00105	0.0119	0.00118	0.00037	0.000257	0.0153	--	0.0175	<0.000005	--	0.0024	0.0002	2.6	--	--

Table 4-3
Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Landfill
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters														Iron	Manganese
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)		
AD-13	05/31/16	1.19	8.02	12	<1	6.05		177	900	<0.005	<0.005	0.062	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.011	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.22	--	--
	07/27/16	1.23	3.7	15	1	6.05		187	--	<0.005	<0.005	0.036	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.026	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.601	--	--
	09/29/16	1.37	2.7	17	1	4.56		207	431	<0.005	<0.005	0.04	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.02	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.213	--	--
	10/19/16	1.67	3.66	19	1	4.34		226	482	<0.005	<0.005	0.03	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.022	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.662	--	--
	12/05/16	--	--	--	--	--		--	532	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	12/13/16	1.96	3.77	18	1	4.79		287	596	<0.005	<0.005	0.051	0.001	<0.001	0.007	0.007	<0.005	0.025	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.27	--	--
	01/19/17	0.402	33.5	7	<1	5.38		90	222	<0.005	0.006	0.112	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	<0.005	<0.005	0.004	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.228	--	--
	02/23/17	1.27	10.3	13	<1	5.06		183	392	<0.005	<0.005	0.041	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.015	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.556	--	--
	06/06/17	1.68	3.03	15	0.6679	4.22	171	244	494	0.00153	<0.00105	0.01712	0.00089	0.00014	<0.00023	0.00624	<0.00068	0.02082	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00103	<0.00086	1.565	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	4.61	173	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/16/18	1.42	7.48	10	0.5362	4.20	1.4	532	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.0216	0.00088	0.00011	<0.00023	0.00809	<0.00068	0.02603	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.064	0.858	0.046	
	Dissolved	1.41	7.31	--	--	4.20	1.4	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02097	0.0008	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00784	<0.00068	0.02439	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.407	0.712	0.045
	05/23/18	--	--	--	0.6534 J	4.52	52.7	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02653	0.00087 J	<0.00007	0.00073 J	0.00937	<0.00068	0.0291	0.000008 J	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.043	2.16	--	--
	08/14/18	1.49	10.1	18	0.7442	4.82	131	316	620	--	0.00137	0.0169	0.000971	0.00031	0.000503	0.0131	--	0.0321	<0.000005	--	0.0017	0.000277	4.0	--	--
AD-14	05/31/16	1.28	2.88	4	<1	4.75	--	115	285	<0.005	<0.005	0.031	<0.001	<0.001	0.010	<0.005	0.012	0.00003	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.87	--	--	
	07/27/16	1.14	2.51	5	<1	4.75	--	111	267	<0.005	<0.005	0.084	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.024	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.487	--	--	
	09/29/16	1.14	1.19	5	<1	4.17	--	111	252	<0.005	<0.005	0.03	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.015	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.817	--	--	
	10/19/16	1.25	2.48	4	<1	3.88	--	118	276	<0.005	<0.005	0.039	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.014	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.972	--	--
	12/12/16	1.25	2.41	5	<1	4.11	--	101	296	<0.005	<0.005	0.047	<0.001	0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.013	0.000037	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.271	--	--	
	01/17/17	0.915	10.3	4	<1	6.07	--	92	254	<0.005	<0.005	0.038	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	0.013	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.825	--	--	
	02/22/17	1.06	9.48	4	<1	5.39	--	90	212	<0.005	<0.005	0.042	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	0.012	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.512	--	--	
	06/06/17	1.26	7.69	6	<0.083	4.77	167	108	256	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.04483	0.00038	0.00067	0.00127	0.00678	<0.00068	0.0127	0.000021	<0.00029	0.00261	<0.00086	1.138	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	4.57	150	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	05/16/18	1.61	4.67	11	<0.083	4.11	5.1	332	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.03161	0.00094	0.00204	<0.00023	0.01501	<0.00068	0.01638	0.000137	<0.00029	0.00221	<0.00086	1.097	0.09	0.008	
	Dissolved	1.56	4.55	--	--	4.11	5.1	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02938	0.00094	0.00193	<0.00023	0.01476	<0.00068	0.01523	0.000149	<0.00029	0.00387	<0.00086	0.5903	0.06	0.007
	05/23/18	--	--	--	<0.083	4.17	43.2	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02817	0.00078 J	0.00161	<0.00023	0.01434	<0.00068	0.0152	0.000145	<0.00029	0.00362	<0.043	1.601	--	--
	08/14/18	1.51	4.51	12	<0.083	4.27	198	204	384	--	0.00039	0.024	0.000854	0.00199	0.000276	0.0176	--	0.011	0.000181	--	0.0037	0.000242	1.5	--	--
	Supplemental Downgradient Monitoring Well																								
AD-10	5/16/2018	0.08311	15.5	40	<0.083	3.72	<100	--	280	<0.00093	0.0022	0.03855	0.00166	0.00033	<0.00023	0.02432	<0.00068	0.316	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	0.00098	1.704	0.338	0.25
	Dissolved	0.07733	15.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.03712	0.00149	0.00009	<0.00023	0.02412	<0.00068	0.296	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.505	0.282	0.251
Supplemental Sidegradient Monitoring Well																									
Temp-1	5/17/2018	0.662	26.2	34	<0.083	4.90	23.8	--	556	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07752	0.00058	<0.00007	0.00102	0.01058	<0.00068	0.01075	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.277	1.94	0.203
	Dissolved	0.621	24.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.06778	0.00042	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00946	<0.00068	0.00986	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	0.00191	2.278	0.813	0.192
Reference Values:																									
MCL					4					0.006	0.01	2	0.004	0.005	0.1				0.002		0.05	0.002	5 ^c		
Rule Specified																0.006	0.015	0.04		0.1					
Background Limit					1					0.005	0.005	0.36	0.00077	0.0065 ^b	0.004	0.075 ^b	0.005	0.39 ^b	0.000033	0.005	0.005	0.0013	4.21 ^c		
Interwell Background Value(s) (UPL, LPL where applicable) AD-8, AD-9, AD-15	0.652					4.81-6.99																			
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-8		35.68	38.3	1.034				236	569																
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-9		350	139.3	0.7259				2527	3147																
Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-15		5.71	38.42	1				35.6	388																

NOTES:
 All concentration data are provided in milligrams per liter (mg/L) unless otherwise noted.
 J = Analyte was positively identified, though the quantitation was below Reporting Limit.
 MCL = Maximum contaminant level
 LPL = Lower prediction limit
 UPL = Upper prediction limit
 pCi/L = PicoCuries per liter
 -- = Not analyzed
 a = Data taken from Geosyntec "Statistical Analysis Summary, Primary Bottom Ash Pond" dated January 8, 2019.
 b = Calculated Upper Tolerance Limit is higher than MCL.
 c = Data is "Combined Radium, Total".
 Denotes groundwater sample collected by ARCADIS using low-flow methods.
 Unless otherwise noted, values shown are total (unfiltered) analyses.
 Dissolved (0.45-micron lab filtered) parameter concentrations shown in italics.

Table 4-4
 Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Bottom Ash Storage Pond
 AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
 Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters														Iron	Manganese
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)		
Background (Upgradient) Wells																									
AD-1	05/26/16	0.346	36.5	5	<1	5.93	--	42	252	<0.005	<0.005	0.191	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.010	0.000033	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.18	--	--
	07/27/16	0.350	39.6	4	<1	5.93	--	36	239	<0.005	<0.005	0.191	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.9952	--	--
	09/29/16	0.332	15	5	<1	5.37	--	35	173	<0.005	<0.005	0.141	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.38	--	--
	10/19/16	0.398	19.1	4	<1	5.15	--	42	192	<0.005	<0.005	0.114	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.141	--	--
	12/12/16	0.394	8.74	4	<1	5.18	--	40	200	<0.005	<0.005	0.072	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.719	--	--
	01/17/17	0.656	129	4	<1	7.13	--	68	538	<0.005	<0.005	0.410	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.001	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.009	--	--
	02/23/17	0.700	147	9	<1	6.88	--	68	612	<0.005	<0.005	0.488	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.001	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.309	--	--
	06/07/17	0.449	15.1	4	<0.083	5.06	109	42	176	<0.00093	0.00114	0.09346	0.00037	<0.00007	0.00066	0.00077	<0.00068	0.00902	0.000007	<0.00029	0.0021	<0.00086	0.676	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.25	97.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.352	12.1	3	<0.083	4.82	8.4	--	174	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08823	0.00048	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.0008	<0.00068	0.00816	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.837	0.03	0.025
<i>Dissolved</i>	0.35	12	--	--	4.82	8.4	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.08582	0.00044	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00083	<0.00068	0.00799	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00197	<0.00086	0.531	0.01	0.026	
05/24/18	0.345	10.2	4	<0.083	5.19	118	43	150	0.00317 J	<0.00105	0.0799	0.00039 J	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00035 J	<0.00068	0.00814	0.000006 J	<0.00029	0.00138 J	<0.00086	1.983	--	--	
08/14/18	0.443	5.95	5	<0.083	5.18	102	44	160	0.00003 J	0.00021	0.063	0.000482	0.00002	0.00016	0.000797	0.000238	0.00708	0.000013 J	0.00021	0.0017	0.00003 J	1.10	--	--	
AD-5	05/31/16	0.03	36.9	15	<1	6.38	--	123	337	<0.005	<0.005	0.057	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.135	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.63	--	--
	07/28/16	0.04	44.7	16	<1	6.38	--	163	360	<0.005	<0.005	0.093	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	<0.005	0.191	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.75	--	--
	09/29/16	0.04	46.3	15	<1	5.29	--	190	416	<0.005	<0.005	0.087	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.005	0.186	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	3.33	--	--
	10/20/16	0.05	50.7	14	<1	5.92	--	267	448	<0.005	<0.005	0.07	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	0.225	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.319	--	--
	12/13/16	0.05	49.6	13	<1	6.29	--	233	484	<0.005	<0.005	0.053	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.199	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.182	--	--
	01/17/17	0.04	49.8	14	<1	6.27	--	234	438	<0.005	<0.005	0.047	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.012	<0.005	0.239	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.023	--	--
	02/23/17	0.04	33.0	15	<1	5.48	--	127	286	<0.005	<0.005	0.042	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	<0.005	0.166	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.788	--	--
	06/07/17	0.05281	49.7	14	<0.083	5.96	867	82	300	<0.00093	0.00385	0.0877	0.00008	0.00039	0.00028	0.01193	<0.00068	0.124	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.32	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.59	249	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.05063	30.1	21	<0.083	5.79	<100	--	248	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07627	0.00014	0.00037	<0.00023	0.01907	<0.00068	0.118	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.495	14.4	0.45
<i>Dissolved</i>	0.03752	29.1	--	--	5.79	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.06865	<0.00002	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.01747	<0.00068	0.119	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	2.051	8.38	0.43	
05/24/18	0.05007	28.1	22	<0.083	6.22	17.8	60	242	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.07116	<0.00002	0.00023 J	0.0008 J	0.01424	<0.00068	0.121	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.946	--	--	
08/15/18	0.05	40.5	19	<0.083	6.23	57.1	240	428	0.00001 J	0.00169	0.0637	0.000055	0.000008 J	0.000072	0.0114	0.000079	0.147	<0.000005	0.00013	0.00008 J	<0.01	0.316	--	--	
AD-17	05/26/16	0.121	200	43	<1	7.17	--	1,166	1,810	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	<0.001	0.002	0.001	0.063	<0.005	0.370	0.000032	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.53	--	--
	07/27/16	0.119	195	32	<1	7.17	--	1,005	1,576	<0.005	<0.005	0.020	<0.001	0.004	0.001	0.068	<0.005	0.374	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.78	--	--
	09/29/16	0.111	191	36	<1	6.17	--	1,055	1,663	<0.005	<0.005	0.031	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	0.058	<0.005	0.354	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.358	--	--
	10/20/16	0.124	194	32	1.0	6.14	--	1,163	1,612	<0.005	<0.005	0.034	<0.001	0.002	0.004	0.065	<0.005	0.394	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.224	--	--
	12/13/16	0.135	196	31	<1	6.03	--	1,096	1,560	<0.005	<0.005	0.017	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.068	<0.005	0.323	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.384	--	--
	01/17/17	0.101	196	33	<1	5.96	--	1,445	1,686	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	<0.001	0.003	0.068	0.068	<0.005	0.341	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.436	--	--
	02/22/17	0.135	189	30	<1	5.67	--	1,055	1,628	<0.005	<0.005	0.020	<0.001	0.002	0.001	0.073	<0.005	0.331	<0.000025	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.288	--	--
	06/06/17	0.121	188	30	<0.083	5.81	156	1,105	1,578	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.01033	<0.00002	0.00606	<0.00023	0.0748	<0.00068	0.329	0.000013	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.598	--	--
	10/05/17	--	--	--	--	5.92	598	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/17/18	0.247	213	45	<0.083	5.51	<100	--	1,846	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00978	<0.00002	0.00915	<0.00023	0.07451	<0.00068	0.306	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00414	<0.00086	1.514	260	3.72
<i>Dissolved</i>	0.231	205	--	--	5.51	<100	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00737	<0.00002	0.00609	<0.00023	0.07938	<0.00068	0.301	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00515	0.02	1.57	241	3.56	
05/24/18	0.239	193	39	<0.083	6.28	7.8	1,067	1,836	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.00965	<0.00002	0.00646	<0.00023	0.07173	<0.00068	0.308	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	1.939	--	--	
08/15/18	0.118	187	40	<0.083	5.6	418	1,170	1,750	0.00002 J	0.00183	0.0128	0.000069	0.00025	0.000604	0.0435	0.0011	0.243	0.000011 J	0.00035	0.0003	0.000074	2.35	--	--	
AD-18	05/26/16	0.146	409	422	<1	5.1	--	5,135	10,000	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	0.014	0.003	<0.001	0.922	<0.005	2.07	0.000168	<0.005	0.006	0.003	12.58	--	--
	07/27/16	0.148	457	432	2	5.1	--	4,930	9,476	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	0.005	0.002	<0.001	0.734	<0.005	1.94	0.000091	<0.005	0.007	0.003	10.62	--	--
	09/29/16	0.156	469	637	4	5.59	--	4,632	9,569	<0.005	<0.005	0.02	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.666	<0.005	1.86	0.000117	<0.005	0.007	<0.002	7.05	--	--
	10/20/16	0.188	498</																						

Table 4-4
Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Bottom Ash Storage Pond
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters														Iron	Manganese
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)		
Point of Compliance Wells																									
AD-3	05/31/16	0.02	1.41	9	<1	6.58	--	4	106	<0.005	<0.005	0.053	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.010	0.00085	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.02	--	--
	07/27/16	0.02	0.706	8	<1	6.58	--	5	118	<0.005	<0.005	0.036	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.024	0.000589	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.1786	--	--
	09/30/16	0.02	<0.5	9	<1	4.75	--	6	127	<0.005	<0.005	0.043	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	0.00039	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.552	--	--
	10/19/16	0.06	0.794	8	<1	3.71	--	9	112	<0.005	<0.005	0.041	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.018	0.000351	0.006	<0.005	<0.002	1.589	--	--
	12/12/16	0.02	1.05	8	<1	4.67	--	11	138	<0.005	<0.005	0.045	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.017	0.000321	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.546	--	--
	01/19/17	0.02	0.746	9	<1	4.60	--	4	76	<0.005	<0.005	0.041	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	0.000504	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.229	--	--
	02/23/17	0.02	0.573	9	<1	4.69	--	5	104	<0.005	<0.005	0.037	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	0.000501	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.4592	--	--
	06/07/17	0.03326	0.543	9	0.2625	4.49	56.6	5	104	<0.00093	0.00191	0.038	0.00024	0.00008	0.00075	0.00128	<0.00068	0.01503	0.000365	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.459	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.15	65.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/15/18	0.01869	0.56	9	<0.083	4.31	11.1	132	0.00166	0.0016	0.0365	0.00034	0.00008	<0.00023	0.00136	<0.00068	0.01459	0.00037	<0.00029	0.00323	0.00127	0.016	0.188	0.004	
Dissolved	0.01132	0.595	--	--	4.31	11.1	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.0361	0.00023	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00133	<0.00068	0.01445	0.000379	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.242	<0.01	0.004	
05/24/18	0.0069 J	0.545	8	<0.083	4.58	8.50	3	98	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
AD-4c	05/31/16	0.05	0.798	10	<1	5.41	--	32	204	<0.005	<0.005	0.088	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	<0.005	0.004	0.000191	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.29	--	--
	07/27/16	0.03	0.666	12	<1	5.41	--	35	208	<0.005	<0.005	0.059	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	<0.005	<0.005	0.015	0.000185	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.5075	--	--
	09/29/16	0.02	<0.5	11	<1	4.96	--	45	212	<0.005	<0.005	0.074	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	<0.005	<0.005	0.006	0.00016	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.572	--	--
	10/19/16	0.04	0.578	10	<1	4.30	--	35	212	<0.005	<0.005	0.069	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	<0.005	0.006	0.000141	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	1.657	--	--
	12/12/16	0.02	0.341	11	<1	4.62	--	36	252	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.004	0.000143	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.685	--	--
	01/19/17	0.02	0.761	10	<1	4.67	--	43	184	<0.005	<0.005	0.075	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	<0.005	<0.005	0.005	0.000125	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	2.045	--	--
	02/23/17	0.02	0.467	9	<1	5.10	--	40	196	<0.005	<0.005	0.030	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.004	0.000098	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	0.517	--	--
	06/07/17	0.03331	0.573	10	<0.083	4.88	351	39	228	<0.00093	0.00119	0.05142	0.00019	0.00008	0.00403	0.00075	<0.00068	0.00482	0.000147	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.953	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	5.38	308	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	05/16/18	0.0186	0.498	14	<0.083	4.67	6.40	232	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02572	0.0001	<0.00007	0.00044	0.00049	<0.00068	0.00394	0.000228	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.435	0.592	<0.001	
Dissolved	0.02017	0.468	--	--	4.67	6.40	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.02223	0.00006	<0.00007	<0.00043	<0.00068	0.0039	0.000031	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	0.354	0.394	0.002		
05/24/18	0.02505	0.434	14	<0.083	5.17	48.1	42	224	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
08/14/18	--	--	15	--	--	125	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
AD-16	01/26/16	0.05	2.81	6	<1	3.84	--	49	180	<0.005	0.02	0.198	0.002	<0.001	0.054	0.013	0.016	0.015	0.000259	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.478	--	--
	03/21/16	0.04	2.04	6	<1	4.20	--	47	104	<0.005	<0.005	0.119	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	<0.005	<0.005	0.007	0.000114	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	4.44	--	--
	05/31/16	0.03	1.55	6	<1	4.44	--	40	96	<0.005	<0.005	0.127	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.005	<0.005	0.002	0.000037	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	5.99	--	--
	07/27/16	0.04	3.42	7	<1	4.44	--	70	184	<0.005	0.01	0.123	0.002	<0.001	0.011	0.022	<0.005	0.035	0.000212	<0.005	<0.005	<0.002	7.21	--	--
AD-16R	06/06/17	0.04198	2.75	7	0.3438	3.68	46.9	54	204	<0.00093	0.00707	0.0464	0.00221	0.00103	0.00176	0.04174	<0.00068	0.0293	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00198	<0.00086	6.66	--	--
	06/28/17	0.06398	1.24	6	0.2512	3.91	--	55	200	<0.00093	0.00528	0.04143	0.00216	0.00092	0.00095	0.04087	<0.00068	0.02932	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	12.11	--	--
	07/28/17	0.02841	1.92	7	<0.083	2.77	--	48	162	<0.00093	0.0037	0.04851	0.00217	0.00128	0.00107	0.04533	<0.00068	0.02617	0.000006	<0.00029	0.00127	0.00143	8.52	--	--
	08/02/17	0.03177	1.86	7	<0.083	3.00	--	49	174	<0.00093	0.00446	0.04961	0.00206	0.00122	0.00095	0.04311	<0.00068	0.02498	<0.000005	<0.00029	0.00174	0.00202	5.45	--	--
	10/06/17	--	--	--	--	3.29	31.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	05/15/18	0.04030	2.73	6	<0.083	3.18	0.0	212	0.00269	0.0074	0.04301	0.00278	0.00129	0.0007	0.04123	<0.00068	0.02977	<0.000005	0.00103	<0.00099	<0.00086	5.89	1.47	0.053	
	Dissolved	0.02614	2.59	--	--	3.18	0.0	--	--	<0.00093	0.00294	0.04155	0.0022	0.00071	0.00025	0.03996	<0.00068	0.0278	<0.000005	<0.00029	<0.00099	<0.00086	5.90	0.599	0.05
05/23/18	0.03202	2.53	6	<0.083	3.79	36.9	67	204	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
08/14/18	--	--	--	--	--	142	44	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Supplemental Downgradient Monitoring Wells																									
AD-19	5/17/2018	0.07234	9.4	34	<0.083	5.72	42.1	--	372	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.05026	0.00073	<0.00007	0.00117	0.0111	<0.00068	0.02924	<0.000005	0.00078	0.00194	<0.00086	1.421	3.04	0.089
	Dissolved	0.06293	8.76	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.00093	<0.00105	0.04	0.00025	<0.00007	<0.00023	0.00965	<0.00068	0.02842	<0.000005	0.00041	<0.00099	0.012	2.577	2.13	0.08
AD-20	10/31/18	0.029	3.14	18.4	0.09	4.88	13	12.5	140	0.00004	0.00185	0.205	0.000651	0.00114	0.000514	0.0161	0.000425	0.0126	<0.00005	<0.0004	0.0008	0.0003	4.16	1.11	0.0742
AD-21	10/30/18	0.025	5.0	17	0.23	5.04	0.0	27.4	180	0.00006	0.00124	0.0868	0.00181	0.00065	0.000263	0.0337	0.000148	0.034	<0.00005	<0.0004	0.0011	0.0002	3.76	3.13	0.154


Table 4-4
Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results (mg/L) - Bottom Ash Storage Pond
AEP J. Robert Welsh Power Plant
Pittsburg, Titus County, Texas

Well	Date Sampled	Appendix III Parameters								Appendix IV Parameters														
		Boron (total)	Calcium (total)	Chloride	Fluoride	pH (field)	Turbidity (field)	Sulfate	TDS	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Lead	Lithium	Mercury	Molybdenum	Selenium	Thallium	Radium 226 and 228 (pCi/L)	Iron
Reference Values:																								
	MCL				4					0.006	0.01	2	0.004	0.005	0.1			0.002	N/A	0.05	0.002	5 ^b		
	Rule Specified																		0.1					
	Background Limit				1					0.005	0.005	0.36	0.00077	0.0065 ^a	0.004	0.075 ^a	0.005	0.39 ^a	0.000033	0.005	0.005	0.0013	4.21 ^b	
	Interwell Background Value(s) (UPL, LPL where applicable) AD-8, AD-9, AD-15	0.652				4.81-6.99																		
	Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-8		35.68	38.3	1.034			236	569															
	Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-9		350	139.3	0.7259			2527	3147															
	Intrawell Background Value (UPL) AD-15		5.71	38.42	1			35.6	388															



NOTES:
 All concentration data are provided in milligrams per liter (mg/L) unless otherwise noted.
 J = Analyte was positively identified, though the quantitation was below Reporting Limit.
 MCL = Maximum contaminant level
 LPL = Lower prediction limit
 UPL = Upper prediction limit
 pCi/L = PicoCuries per liter.
 -- = Not analyzed.
 a = Calculated Upper Tolerance Limit is higher than MCL.
 b = Data is "Combined Radium, Total".
 Denotes groundwater sample collected by ARCADIS using low-flow sampling methods.
 Unless otherwise noted, values shown are total (unfiltered) analyses.
 Dissolved (0.45-micron lab filtered) parameter concentrations shown in italics.

FIGURES





Source:
7.5 minute topographic quadrangle
Cason, Texas, 2013




J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS


SITE LOCATION MAP



Service Layer Credits: Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic, Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.



Legend

 Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Unit

J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

PLANT AND CCR UNIT LOCATION MAP

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community




FIGURE
1-2



Legend

- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Upgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Downgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Monitoring Well Location
- Piezometer/Temporary Monitoring Well Location
- Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Soil Boring (May 2018)
- Geotechnical Soil Boring (October 2018)
- A** Ash Pore Water Sample Location (May 2018)
- Site Features




J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**SOIL BORING AND
 MONITORING WELL LOCATION MAP
 (UPDATED OCTOBER 2018)**

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community



CITY: DIV/GROUP: DB: LD: AM: PD: TM: LVR: ON: OFF: REF: G:\Active Projects\AEP\TX015976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 2-1B Regional Geo Legend.dwg LAYOUT: MODEL SAVED: 11/7/2018 1:51 PM ACADVER: 2015 (LMS TECH) PAGES: 1 PLOT: 1 PLOTTED: 1/26/2019 3:59 PM BY: LEASE, DIANA



J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS


**REGIONAL
GEOLOGIC LEGEND**

	Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets	FIGURE 2-2B
--	--	-----------------------



Legend

- + Monitoring Well Location
- Piezometer Location
- ⊗ Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Soil Boring
- Line of Geologic Cross Section
- Site Features



J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

CROSS SECTION LOCATIONS

ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets


FIGURE **2-3**

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

CITY: DIV/GRP: DB: LD: AM: PD: TM: TR: LYRON+ OFF=REF*
 G:\Active Projects\WEP\T\X\15976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 22 Cross Section A-A.dwg LAYOUT: MODEL: SAVED: 1/28/2019 1:30 PM ACADVER: 20.15 (LMS TECH) PAGES: 22 PLOTSTYLETABLE: PLOTSTYLETABLE: PLOTSETUP: PLOTTED: 1/28/2019 3:24 PM BY: LEASE, DIANA

**WEST
A**

**EAST
A'**



NOTE: BASE OF ASH POND TAKEN FROM "WELSH POWER PLANT-UNIT 1 FLY ASH STORAGE AREA PHASE I" DRAWING ID WEPX-88, DATED 12-3-76; AND U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 7 1/2 MINUTE SERIES TOPOGRAPHIC MAP, CASON, TX QUADRANGLE, 1964 (PHOTO REVISED 1980).

- LEGEND
- MONITORING WELL SCREENED INTERVAL
 - WATER LEVEL IN MONITORING WELL (3/4/16)
 - PROJECTED BASE OF ASH POND (SEE NOTE)


J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**CROSS SECTION
A - A'**

Design & Consultancy
for natural and
built assets

FIGURE
2-4

CITY: DIV/GRP: DB: LD: AM: PD: TM: TR: LYRCON+ OFF=REF*
 G:\Active Projects\WEP\T\X\15976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 2-3 Cross Section B-B.dwg LAYOUT-MODEL SAVED: 3/11/2016 12:34 PM ACADVER: 2015 (LMS TECH) PAGES: 1 PLOTSTYLETABLE: PLOTTED: 1/29/2019 3:30 PM BY: LEASE, DIANA




J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

CROSS SECTION B - B'

Design & Construction
 for natural and built assets

FIGURE
2-5

CITY: DIV/GRP: DB: LD: AM: PD: TM: TR: LYRON+ OFF-REF
 G:\Active Projects\WEP1\X015976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 24 Cross Section C-C.dwg LAYOUT: MODEL: 11/13/2018 3:29 PM ACADVER: 2015 (LMS TECH) PAGES: 24 PLOT: 11/13/2018 3:35 PM BY: LEASE, DIANA




NOTE: BASE OF BOTTOM ASH STORAGE HAS A 60-ML. HDPE LINER AT ELEVATION 340.0', TAKEN FROM FREESE AND NICHOLS "HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF WELSH POWER PLANT ASH PONDS, AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY", DATED DECEMBER 2010.

- LEGEND**
- MONITORING WELL SCREENED INTERVAL
 - WATER LEVEL IN MONITORING WELL (10/29/18)
 - PROJECTED BASE OF ASH STORAGE (SEE NOTE)

J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS	
CROSS SECTION C - C'	
	FIGURE 2-6

CITY: DIV/GROUP: DB: LD: AM: PD: TM: TR: LYRON+ OFF-REF: G:\Active Projects\WEP\T\X\15976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 2-5 Cross Section D-D'.dwg LAYOUT: MODEL: SAVER: 6/23/2016 9:39 AM ACADVER: 20.1S (LMS TECH) PAGES: 1/1 PLOT: 1/28/2019 3:41 PM BY: LEASE, DIANA



NOTE: BASE OF PRIMARY BOTTOM ASH POND TAKEN FROM "WELSH POWER PLANT-UNIT 1 FLY ASH STORAGE AREA PHASE I" DRAWING ID WEPX-88, DATED 12-3-76; AND U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 7 1/2 MINUTE SERIES TOPOGRAPHIC MAP, CASON, TX QUADRANGLE, 1964 (PHOTO REVISED 1980).

- LEGEND**
- MONITORING WELL SCREENED INTERVAL
 - WATER LEVEL IN MONITORING WELL (5/12/15)
 - BASE OF CCR UNIT

J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS


CROSS SECTION D - D'

Design & Consulting for natural and built assets

FIGURE
2-7

NORTH
E

SOUTH
E'



CITY: DIV/GROUP: DB - LD: AM: PD: TM: TR: LYRON+ OFF-REF: G:\Active Projects\NEPTX015976.0005 - Welsh Lithium ASD\Figures-Maps\Figure 2-6 Cross Section E-E.dwg LAYOUT-MODEL SAV: 1/28/2019 3:51 PM ACADVER: 20.15 (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: PLOTSTYLETABLE: PLOTTED: 1/29/2019 8:53 AM BY: LEASE, DIANA

0 300'
HORIZONTAL SCALE


- LEGEND**
- MONITORING WELL SCREENED INTERVAL
 - WATER LEVEL IN MONITORING WELL (3/4/16)

J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**CROSS SECTION
E - E'**


ARCADIS Design & Consultancy
for natural and
built assets

FIGURE
2-8




REGIONAL GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION

FIGURE 2-9



Legend

- ◆ Proposed Existing CCR Unit Upgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Proposed Existing CCR Unit Downgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Monitoring Well Location
- Piezometer/Temporary Monitoring Well Location
- Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Site Features
- 339.74 Water Level Elevation (feet MSL)
- Groundwater Elevation Contour
- Groundwater Flow Direction



J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP
 OCTOBER 29, 2018**


FIGURE
2-10

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community



Legend

- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Upgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Downgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Monitoring Well Location
- Piezometer/Temporary Monitoring Well Location
- Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Soil Boring (May 2018)
- Geotechnical Soil Boring (October 2018)
- A Ash Pore Water Sample Location (May 2018)
- Site Features



J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**LITHIUM CONCENTRATION IN SOIL (mg/kg)
 MAY 2018**


Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community





Legend

- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Upgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Downgradient Monitoring Well
- ◆ Monitoring Well Location
- Piezometer/Temporary Monitoring Well Location
- Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
- Soil Boring (May 2018)
- Geotechnical Soil Boring (October 2018)
- A Ash Pore Water Sample Location (May 2018)
- Site Features




J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**IRON CONCENTRATION IN SOIL (mg/kg)
MAY 2018**

Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community




Solid Concentration Lithium vs. Iron




Native Soil		Coal Ash	
Upgradient	Downgradient	Supplemental Sidegradient	
● SB-2 (AD-17) 22'	● SB-8 (AD-3) 12'	● Temp-1 15'	● Ash-1
● SB-3 (AD-18) 30'	● SB-5 (AD-8) 19'		● Ash-2
● SB-4 (AD-5) 27' Background	● SB-6 (AD-9) 21'		● Ash-3
	● SB-7 (AD-13) 13'		● Ash-4

Notes:
mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram


J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS	
LITHIUM VS. IRON SOLIDS CONCENTRATION PLOT	
ARCADIS <small>Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets</small>	FIGURE 4-3





- Legend**
- ◆ Existing CCR Unit Upgradient Monitoring Well
 - ◆ Existing CCR Unit Downgradient Monitoring Well
 - ◆ Monitoring Well Location
 - ◆ Piezometer/Temporary Monitoring Well Location
 - ◆ Plugged Monitoring Well/Piezometer
 - Soil Boring (May 2018)
 - Site Features
 - - - Iron Groundwater Concentration Contour

Note: Arcadis samples collected May 2018 except AD-20 and AD-21 collected October 2018.




J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

**IRON CONCENTRATION
 IN GROUNDWATER (mg/L)
 MAY - OCTOBER 2018**


Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community



Dissolved Iron vs. Lithium



Total Iron vs. Lithium



Upgradient Wells

- AD-1
- AD-17
- AD-18
- AD-5

Downgradient Wells

- AD-10
- AD-11
- AD-13
- AD-14
- AD-15
- AD-16R
- AD-19
- AD-3

Sidegradient Wells

- MW-9
- MW-10
- Temp-1

Notes:

TDS - total dissolve solids
 mg/L - milligrams per liter
 Concentrations of iron and lithium in coal ash were below detection
 Concentrations of lithium in coal ash porewater were less than 0.02 mg/L

J. ROBERT WELSH POWER PLANT
 1187 COUNTY ROAD 4865
 PITTSBURG, TITUS COUNTY, TEXAS

Iron VS. Lithium GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATION PLOT

APPENDIX A

Springs of Texas Reference



Springs of Texas



VOLUME I

Gunnar Brune

Copyright © 2002
by Charles and Janet Brune
Copyright © 1981 by Gunnar Brune
Manufactured in the United States of America
All rights reserved
Second edition

The paper used in this book meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, Z39.48-1984. Binding materials have been chosen for durability.



The publisher gratefully acknowledges those whose grants helped make this edition possible:

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Lower Colorado River Authority
Wray Charitable Trust
Save Barton Creek Association
College of Agriculture and Life Sciences,
Texas A&M University

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Brune, Gunnar M., 1914-1995
Springs of Texas. Volume I/by Gunnar Brune; introduction by
Helen C. Besse.—2nd ed.
p. cm. —(Texas A&M University agriculture series ; no. 5)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 1-58544-196-1 (cloth : alk. paper)
1. Springs-Texas I. Title. II. Texas A&M University
agriculture series ; no. 5.
GB1198.3T4 B78 2002
333.91'04'09764—dc21

2002017373

INTRODUCTION TO THE SECOND EDITION

Helen C. Besse

When Gunnar Brune self-published *Springs of Texas, Volume I*, in 1981, most of the state water planning agencies and local environmental communities either did not recognize the importance of his work or were not aware of its existence. Brune had spent the previous decade conducting research and field studies, and then writing this book that describes the physical characteristics of springs, the archeology and history of springs' use, the ecological setting of springs, and the local use and lore surrounding springs for 183 out of 254 Texas counties. Gunnar Brune died before he could complete volume II.

Gunnar Brune described many of the large springs across the state as well as innumerable small springs present along river and stream courses that provide the base flow for waterways across the state. Brune repeatedly stated in the 1981 edition of this book that many of the springs he described had failed or were failing. With the pronounced influx of population in the last twenty years and the increased agricultural and industrial activities around the state, one can only wonder how many of the more than 2,000 springs have gone dry since he described them through the 1970s.

Nevertheless, this book is even more important to-

day. Its value to water planners, elected officials, policy makers, municipal, county, and state administrators, wildlife stewards, environmentalists, and water lovers has not diminished. Springs are "the canary in the coal mine." The health of our springs reflects the health of our underground water resources and is seen in the state's surface resources as well.

In the section "The Prehistoric Setting of Springs," Brune provided a quote from another book on the beliefs that early Americans had about springs. It is appropriate to repeat those words here:

Gods and heroes were born out of springs, and ever afterward came and went between the above and below worlds through their pools. Every pueblo had sacred springs somewhere near-by. There was every reason to sanctify them - physical, as life depended upon water; spiritual, as they had natural mystery which suggested supernatural qualities; for how could it be that when water fell as rain, or as snow, and ran away, or dried up, there should be other water which came and came, secretly and sweetly, out of the ground and never failed (Horgan, 1954).

F. Halley's farm. According to Dr. John Klein, a nearby resident and writer, the Klein settlement began here in 1848. The Sellars store was at the springs. They issued from Montgomery silt with many iron concretions at about 0.72 lps on April 11, 1978. The pools, containing duckweed, pennywort, and water primrose, were home to a family of ducks and ducklings. Probably the flow formerly continued down Spring Gully past Klein cemetery, 0.6 kilometer downstream, but on this date, even after rains, the channel here was dry except for some standing water. Many wells pump nearby.

Magnolia Garden Springs (15) are four kilometers northeast of Sheldon along the San Jacinto River. At Martha Dempsey's Good Times marina several very small springs trickle from Deweyville sand, including one which flows 0.15 lps from a pipe. Near the entrance to the nearby Magnolia Gardens marina, according to Jean Manson, springs flowed until about 1923. They are quite dry now. Very small springs are said to feed Simms Lake, across the river and 0.6 kilometer farther east. This formerly popular swimming hole is now closed to the public.

At Beaumont Place northeast of Houston, near the intersection of Highways 90 and 526, is another Spring Gully. The channel is now a drainage ditch into which very small springs and seeps (14) drain from Beaumont silt and sand.

Eight kilometers west of La Porte is Willow Springs Bayou, also called Willow Springs Gully or Ditch. **Willow Springs (8)** are chiefly between North L Street and Spencer Road. On April 9, 1978, the discharge of Willow Springs Bayou at North L Street was 0.18 lps, and at Spencer Road it was 0.70 lps. Many willows still fringe the channel, along with cattails.

A third Spring Gully is located eight kilometers southwest of La Porte. Springs (9) in Beaumont silt produced a discharge of about 0.18 lps in 1978 in the gully at the Red Bluff road crossing. Cottonmouths hide here among the willows and cattails.

HARRISON COUNTY

Harrison County is endowed with numerous springs of all types, some highly mineralized and valued for their healing properties. Most appear to be flowing as strongly as ever, because there has been little demand on the groundwater reservoirs. However, water levels in the artesian sands are declining as much as 4.6 meters per year in some areas. Most of the Caddo Indian villages were located at springs. Early French and Spanish explorers, some over 400 years ago, visited many of the same springs that can be seen today.

The New Madrid earthquake of 1811 - 1812, which enlarged Caddo Lake, may have affected the flow of some springs. In general, however, the water-bearing formations were not greatly affected by the quake.

Most of the spring waters of the county issue from Eocene sands. They are usually fresh, soft, and acid, being of the sodium bicarbonate type. The iron content is often very high. Mineralized waters may also be high in aluminum and sulfate, may be slightly saline, and can be very hard. The analyses shown for 1942 in the table of Selected Chemical Analyses are probably too low in dissolved-solids content, perhaps because of high rainfall at the time the samples were collected. Most of the writer's field studies were made on January 23 - 28, 1976.

It was around **Locke Springs (1)** that the community of Marshall first appeared. In 1831 there were at least 20 springs flowing from the Reklaw sand near the intersection of Franklin and Houston Streets and up the hill toward the courthouse. In early times water was hauled from these springs in barrels to fill the cisterns on the town square. Most of the springs have now been paved over, but the remaining ones still flowed 1.4 liters per second in 1976.

Hynson Springs (10), also known as **Marshall, Noonday Camp, and Iron Springs**, are six kilometers north of Hallsville. They became very popular as a health resort about 1851. The waters are highly mineralized, containing much iron, sulfur, aluminum, and lithium. Originally there were said to be over 100 springs flowing from Queen City sand. Now not more than 20 can be found, possibly because the water table has fallen. During the Civil War the water from the springs was used in a leather-tanning factory. From 1891 to 1905 the large Hotel Randell accommodated thousands of visitors to the springs. Today there are an open-air auditorium and a number of cabins, but everything is in a sad state of disrepair. A historical marker is located at the springs. The discharge record, in liters per second, is as follows:

Jan. 28, 1942	0.13	
Jul 21, 1964	0.06	
Jan. 27, 1976	0.13 (main spring)	1.6 (all springs)

Rock Springs (7) are just east of the Rock Springs church on Highway 449 about 13 kilometers west of Marshall. This and several other springs upstream flowed 2.3 lps from the Queen City sand in 1976. The Frenchman Henri Joutel of La Salle's party may have stopped here for refreshment in 1687.

Mulberry Springs (9), nine kilometers south-southwest of Harleton, are 100 meters north of the